# Jet energy calibration using $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma Z$ process at the ILC

### Takahiro Mizuno sokendai



# Jet Energy Reconstruction Result

Jet 1





-> Check the theta, energy, and flavor dependence.

### Fit the relative difference of reconstructed jet energy with gaus+gaus+exponential Cave up to set the Mean of the 2 Caussians same





# Sigma Value

Particle ID := flavor of the seed of the jet

### **Dependent on energy**





# Mean Value

### Dependent on theta, energy and flavor





# Mean Error

# Dependent on theta and energy





# Next step

- Interpret and understand the meaning of today's result.
- Show the same plot using the PFO in th same way and estimate calibration uncertainty.

# Backup

# Jet energy distribution





# Jet mass distribution

### Jet1



Jet2

# **Correct photon selection**



# **Correct photon selection cut 1**



Cut1: M<sub>2j</sub> <125 GeV && E<sub>vis</sub> >200 GeV

# **Correct photon selection cut 2**

Wrong photons are near jet axes



Cut2:  $\cos\theta(\text{Jet1} \cdot \gamma) < 0.95 \&\& \cos\theta(\text{Jet2} \cdot \gamma) < 0.95$ 

# $M_{2j} \ distribution \ after \ all \ but \ M_{2j} \ cut$



## **Source (B):** Error of the jet mass inputs<sup>15</sup>



Large dependence on both jet 1 mass and jet 2 mass inputs. If <8 × 10<sup>-4</sup> accuracy is necessary, compensation to the reconstructed jet energy should be introduced.