First Look at Higgs to Invisible à la Tokyo/ILD



Chris Potter

University of Oregon

SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.1/17

Snowmass 2021 Letter of Interest

LOI - ILC/SiD Higgs to Invisible

Andrew White, Austin Prior, University of Texas at Arlington, James Brau, Christopher Potter, Amanda Steinhebel, Makayla Massar, University of Oregon

August 2020

1 Introduction

The Higgs Boson, being the only true scalar particle yet discovered, is a fundamentally new entity in the world of high energy physics. As such, it imperative to explore every aspect of the Higgs properties. While, so far, experimental results are in line with the Higgs having the properties expected in the Standard Model, there is significant room for connections to new physics beyond the Standard Model. This LOI describes a study of possible decays of the Higgs into invisible particles, such as might comprise the Dark Matter.

2 The search for invisible decays of the Higgs

The ATLAS and CMS experiments at the LHC have searched for invisible decays of the Higgs in a variety of channels. The current best limit, from a single search, is from ATLAS in the vector boson fusion process [2]. The limit set is 13% at 95% c.l. This limit has, in turn, been used to set a limit as a function of mass on the dark matter-nucleon scattering cross-section, as seen in Figure 1.

Another LoI on ILC Higgs to Invisible from Brookhaven National Lab is authored by our colleague Ketevi Assamagan. We are investigating possible collaboration.

SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.2/17

Introduction



- Tokyo/ILD study: documented in arXiv:2002.12048
 - Samples are full ILD simulation, signal exclusive for $Z \rightarrow q\bar{q}$.
 - Polarization scheme is 80% e^- , 30% e^+ polarized beams.
 - Luminosity sharing is 900/900 fb $^{-1}$ (LR/RL).
- This study: preliminary (collaborators at UTA, BNL)
 - Samples are fast Delphes SiD simulation with DSiD
 - 100ab⁻¹ signal generated with Whizard 2.6.4 by me, inclusive Z decays
 - \sim 250fb⁻¹ backgrounds are Whizard 1.95 by Tim Barklow for DBD
 - 10 ab^{-1} backgrounds are Whizard 2.6.4 by me (not yet used here)
 - Polarization scheme is 80% e^- , 30% e^+ polarized beams.
 - Luminosity sharing is 250/250 fb $^{-1}$ (LR/RL).

Further notes on this study:

- Default jets in Delphes files are Antikt jets. Jetfinding is rerun in Root using Masako Iwasaki's Durham algorithm implementation.
- Signal selection follows Tokyo/ILD as closely as possible, but where some background can be rejected with no obvious signal loss, some pruning is done.

SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.3/17

Tokyo/ILD Analysis: arXiv:2002.12048



Figure 2: Recoil mass distribution after event selection at $\sqrt{s} = 250$ GeV. (left): $(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (-0.8, +0.3)$, (right): $(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (+0.8, -0.3)$.

Table 2: Selection table for $\sqrt{s} = 250$ GeV, $(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (-0.8, +0.3)$.			Table 3: Selection table for $\sqrt{s} = 250$ GeV, $(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (+0.8, -0.3)$.				
cut condition	signal (efficiency)	all bkg (efficiency)	significance	cut condition	signal (efficiency)	all bkg (efficiency)	significance
No Cut	18917 (1.000)	$1.417 \times 10^8 (1.000)$	1.59	No Cut	12776 (1.000)	$7.785 \times 10^7 (1.000)$	1.45
$N_{lep} = 0$	18880 (0.998)	$9.732 \times 10^7 (0.687)$	1.91	$N_{lep} = 0$	12752 (0.998)	$4.893 \times 10^7 (0.628)$	1.82
Pre-Cut	18202 (0.962)	$3.358 \times 10^{6} (0.024)$	9.91	Pre-Cut	12270 (0.960)	$1.329 \times 10^{6} (0.017)$	10.6
$N_{pfo} > 15 \& N_{charged} > 6$	17918 (0.947)	$2.539 \times 10^{6} (0.018)$	11.2	$N_{pfo} > 15 \& N_{charged} > 6$	12067 (0.945)	852285 (0.011)	13.0
$p_{Tjj} \in (20, 80) \text{GeV}$	16983 (0.898)	$1.368 \times 10^{6} (0.010)$	14.4	$p_{Tjj} \in (20, 80)$ GeV	11394 (0.892)	285847 (0.004)	20.9
$M_{jj} \in (80, 100) \text{GeV}$	14158 (0.748)	713194 (0.005)	16.6	$M_{jj} \in (80, 100) \mathrm{GeV}$	9481 (0.742)	165798 (0.002)	22.6
$ \cos heta_{jj} < 0.9$	13601 (0.719)	539921 (0.004)	18.3	$ \cos heta_{jj} < 0.9$	9126 (0.714)	130070 (0.002)	24.5
$M_{recoil} \in (100, 160) \text{GeV}$	13585 (0.718)	244051 (0.002)	26.8	$M_{recoil} \in (100, 160) \text{GeV}$	9115 (0.713)	62979 (0.001)	33.9

Luminosity is 900 fb⁻¹ (left), 900 fb⁻¹ (right). Are only four fermion backgrounds considered? The nature of "other background" is unclear. "Pre-Cut" is a loose selection on the two jet system.

SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.4/17

Lepton Multiplicity



Signal is green with BR($H \rightarrow inv.$)=0.10, all background is blue.

Require $N_e + N_\mu = 0$. Above, cumlative requirements imposed. Below, all requirements imposed. SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.5/17

Track Multiplicity



Signal is green with BR($H \rightarrow \text{inv.}$)=0.10, all background is blue.

Require $6 \le N_{trk} \le 24$. Above, cumulative requirements imposed. Below, all imposed. SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.6/17

ECal/HCal Cluster (PFO) Multiplicity



Signal is green with BR($H \rightarrow inv.$)=0.10, all background is blue.

Require $12 \le N_{pfo} \le 40$. Above, cumulative requirements imposed. Below, all imposed. SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.7/17

Visible Transverse Momentum in ECal/HCal



Signal is green with BR($H \rightarrow inv.$)=0.10, all background is blue.

Require $20 \le p_T^{vis} \le 60$ GeV. Above, cumulative requirements imposed. Below, all imposed. SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.8/17

Visible Mass in ECal/HCal



Require $75 \le m_{vis} \le 105$ GeV. Above, cumulative requirements imposed. Below, all imposed. SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.9/17

Jet Multiplicity



Signal is green with BR($H \rightarrow$ inv.)=0.10, all background is blue.

Require $N_{jet} = 2$. Above, cumulative requirements imposed. Below, all imposed. SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.10/17

Jet Angle: $\cos(j_1, j_2)$



Signal is green with $BR(H \rightarrow inv.)=0.10$, all background is blue.

Require $-0.9 \le \cos \theta_{jj} \le -0.2$. Above, cumulative requirements imposed. Below, all imposed. SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.11/17

Recoil Mass



Signal is green with $BR(H \rightarrow inv.)=0.10$, all background is blue.

Require $110 \le m_{recoil} \le 140$ GeV. Above, cumulative requirements imposed. Below, all imposed. SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.12/17

Event Thrust



Signal is green with BR($H \rightarrow$ inv.)=0.10, all background is blue.

No cut applied. Low thrust events are likely from gluon emission $q \rightarrow gq$ (thanks Jim). SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.13/17

Cutflow and Background Process ID



Signal is green with $BR(H \rightarrow inv.)=0.10$, all background is blue.

Background events are identified by a process ID, common to ILD/SiD for DBD production. SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.14/17

Yields and Background Composition (scaled to 900fb⁻¹)

Requirement	S(LR)	B(LR)	$\frac{S}{\sqrt{S+B}}$	S(RL)	B(RL)	$\frac{S}{\sqrt{S+B}}$
No Cut	2.79e+04	2.55e+09	0.552	1.89e+04	2.5e+09	0.378
$N_e + N_\mu = 0$	2.54e+04	1.48e+09	0.659	1.71e+04	1.42e+09	0.453
$\frac{6 \leq N_{trk} \leq 24}{12 \leq N_{pfo} \leq 40}$	1.74e+04	7.97e+07	1.95	1.22e+04	4.95e+07	1.74
$75 \le m_{vis} \le 105 \mathrm{GeV}$	1.47e+04	7.24e+06	5.46	1.03e+04	2.11e+06	7.11
$20 \leq p_T^{vis} \leq 60~{ m GeV}$	1.26e+04	1.15e+06	11.6	8.83e+03	3.13e+05	15.6
$N_{jet} = 2$	1.26e+04	1.15e+06	11.6	8.83e+03	3.13e+05	15.6
$-0.9 \le \cos \theta_{jj} \le -0.2$	1.16e+04	5.91e+05	14.9	8.18e+03	1.55e+05	20.3
$110 \le m_{rec} \le 140 \; \mathrm{GeV}$	1.01e+04	2.39e+05	20.2	7.11e+03	5.5e+04	28.5

Background Composition

Process	Process ID	LR Fraction (%)	Process ID	RL Fraction (%)
$3f \; e^- \gamma \to e^- Z, \nu W^-$	37785	22	37785	6.5
$3f \gamma e^+ \to e^+ Z, \nu W^+$	37815	17	37815	38
4f $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} Z$	106571	13	106572	7.8
$4f e^+ e^- \to ZZ$	106575	9.8	106576	19
$4f e^+e^- \to WW$	106577	26	106577	6.1
2f $e^+e^- o q \bar{q}$	106607	7.4	106608	9.6

SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.15/17

Tokyo/ILD Results for P=LR, 900fb⁻¹

Table 2: Selection table for $\sqrt{s} = 250$ GeV, $(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (-0.8, +0.3)$.						
cut condition	signal (efficiency)	all bkg (efficiency)	significance			
No Cut 30% Hig	h (18917 (1.000)	$1.417 \times 10^8 (1.000)$	1.59			
$N_{lep} = 0$	18880 (0.998)	$9.732 \times 10^7 (0.687)$	1.91			
What? Pre-Cut	18202 (0.962)	$3.358 \times 10^{6} (0.024)$	9.91			
$N_{pfo} > 15 \& N_{charged} > 6$	17918 (0.947)	$2.539 \times 10^{6} (0.018)$	11.2			
$p_{Tjj} \in (20, 80)$ GeV	16983 (0.898)	$1.368 \times 10^{6} (0.010)$	14.4			
$M_{jj} \in (80, 100) \text{GeV}$	14158 (0.748)	713194 (0.005)	16.6			
$ \cos heta_{jj} < 0.9$	13601 (0.719)	539921 (0.004)	18.3			
$M_{recoil} \in (100, 160) \mathrm{GeV}$	13585 (0.718)	244051 (0.002)	26.8			



SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.16/17

Conclusions

The Tokyo/ILD Higgs to invisible analysis (hadronic Z) with full simulation has been replicated with fast Delphes DSiD simulation.

When the 250fb^{-1} results of this study are scaled to 900fb^{-1} and compared:

- Signal yields are lower, 10K/7K event compared to ILD 14K/9K (LR/RL)
- Background yields comparable, 239K/55K events compared to ILD 244K/63K
- This yields lower signal significances, 20/29 compared to ILD 27/34
- Background composition different, half 2f/3f and half 4f compared to ILD all 4f

Important takeaways from using Delphes DSiD for an ILC analysis:

- The SiD MC20 Exercise page has details on the files and their use.
- When using the DBD background files, you must use Event.Weight to fill histograms
- Background processes are identified with Event.ProcessID.
- Particle Flow Objects (PFOs) should be taken from Towers (ECal/HCal Clusters)
- Jetfinding should be rerun with the Durham e^+e^- algorithm, Antikt jets are nonideal

Next steps:

- Conceive a brilliant strategem to improve signal significance (p_z ? NN? Z frame?).
- Proceed to full simulation, consider possible optimization of ECal/HCal.

SiD Optimization, 14 October, 2020 - p.17/17