

International Development Team

ILC accelerator R&D proposal for the PreLab phase

Benno List, DESY

ILC Europe Meeting

27.1.2021

Accelerator activities at ILC Pre-lab phase

Technical preparations /performance & cost R&D [shared across regions]

- SRF performance R&D
- Positron source final design and verification
- Nanobeams (ATF3 and related): Interaction region: beam focus, control and Damping ring: fast kicker, feedback
- Beam dump: system design, beam window, cooling water circulation
- Other technical developments considered performance critical

Technical preparation

Final technical design and documentation [central project office in Japan with the help of regional project offices (satellites)]

- Engineering design and documentation, WBS
- Cost confirmation/estimates, tender and purchase preparation, transport planning, mass-production planning and QA plans, schedule follow up and construction schedule preparation
- Site planning including environmental studies, CE, safety and infrastructure (see below for details)
- Review office
- Resource follow up and planning (including human resources)

Engineering Design Report (EDR)

Preparation and planning of deliverables [distributed across regions, liaising with the central project office and/or its satellites]

- Prototyping and qualification in local industries and laboratories, from SRF production lines to individual WBS items
- Local infrastructure development including preparation for the construction phase (including Hub.Lab)
- Financial follow up, planning and strategies for these activities


Mass-production

CE, local infrastructure and site [host country assisted by selected partners]

- Engineering design including cost confirmation/estimate
- Environmental impact assessment and land access
- Specification update of the underground areas including the experimental hall
- Specification update for the surface building for technical scientific and administrative needs

Civil engineering

ILC Pre-Lab



Proposal Document

- All proposals in one document
- 83 pages
- Open version available at <https://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/9047/> (Technical_preparation_Ver3B.pdf)
- Contains **estimates** for necessary resources
-> basis for possible funding requests, neither commitment nor obligation

Ver 3: 2021/Jan/06



Technical Preparation and Work Packages (WPs) during ILC Pre-Lab

IDT-WG2

Contents	
Technical Preparation and Work Packages (WPs) during ILC Pre-lab	1
Outline	1
Timeline (example of SRF and positron)	3
Area System 1: ML and SRF	4
Overview	4
Area System ML-SRF Work packages (WPs)	5
WP-1: Cavity Industrial-Production Readiness	6
Technical Preparations Plan	6
Goals of the (9-cell) Cavity Technical Preparation	6
Cost/Budget required	6
Candidates for participating laboratories	7
Status and Prospects	7
Figures related to WP-1	8
WP-2: Cryomodule (CM) Global Transfer and Performance Assurance	9
Technical Preparation Plan	9
Goals of the CM technical preparation	9
Cost/Budget required	9
Candidates for participating laboratories	10
Status and Prospects	11
Figures related to WP-2	12
WP-3: Crab Cavity System (for BDS Area System)	13
Technical Preparation Plan	13
Goals of technical preparation	13
Cost/Budget required	14
Candidates for participating laboratories	14
Status and Prospects	14
Figures related to this WP-3	15
Area System 2: Electron Source	16
WP-4: Electron Source	16
Technical Preparation Plan	16
Goals of the technical preparation (for Pre-Lab phase 2022-2025)	16
Cost/Budget required	17
Candidates for Participating Laboratories	17
Status and Prospects	17
Items	19
References	24

Area System 3: Positron Source	27
Introduction	27
References	27
Area System 3-1: Undulator Positron Source	28
Overview	28
Technical preparation goals for Pre-Lab phase (2022-2025)	28
Candidates for participating laboratories	28
Area System Undulator Positron Source: Work packages (WPs)	28
WP-5: Undulator Technology	30
Technical Preparation Plan	30
Cost/Budget required	30
Candidates for participating laboratories	30
Status and Prospects	31
WP-6: Target Technology	32
Technical Preparation Plan	32
Goals of the technical preparation (for Pre-Lab phase 2022-2025)	32
Cost/Budget required	32
Candidates for participating laboratories	32
Status and Prospects	33
WP-7: Magnetic Focusing System	36
Technical Preparation Plan	36
Technical preparation goals for Pre-Lab phase (2022-2025)	36
Cost/Budget required	36
Status and Prospects	36
References	38
Area System 3-2: Electron Driven Positron Source	39
Overview	39
Goals of the technical preparation	41
Candidates for participating laboratories	41
Area System Electron-Driven Positron Source: Work packages (WPs)	41
References	42
WP-8: Target	43
Technical Preparation Plan	43
Goals of the technical preparation (for Pre-Lab phase 2022-2025)	43
Cost/Budget required	43
Candidates for participating laboratories	43
Status and Prospects	44
Summary table of tasks	45

References	45
WP-9: Flux Concentrator	46
Technical Preparation Plan	46
Goals of the technical preparation (for Pre-Lab phase 2022-2025)	46
Cost/Budget required	46
Candidates for participating laboratories	47
Status and Prospects	47
Summary Table of tasks	47
Reference	48
WP-10: Capture Linac	49
Technical Preparation Plan	49
Goals of the technical preparation (for Pre-Lab phase 2022-2025)	50
Cost/Budget required	50
Candidates for participating laboratories	50
Status and Prospects	50
Summary Table of tasks	51
References	51
WP-11: Target Maintenance (common issue for undulator and e-driven sources)	52
Technical Preparation Plan	52
Goals of the technical preparation (for Pre-Lab phase (2022-2025)	53
Cost/Budget required	53
Candidates for participating laboratories	53
Status and Prospects	53
Summary Table of tasks	53
Reference	54
Area-System 4: Damping ring	55
Overview	55
Area-System Damping ring: Work packages	55
WP-12: System design of ILC damping ring	56
Technical Preparations Plan	56
Goals of the technical preparation	56
Cost/Budget required	56
Candidates for participating laboratories	56
Status and Prospects	57
WP-13: Evaluation of collective effects in ILC damping ring	60
Technical Preparations Plan	60
Goals of the technical preparation	60
Cost/Budget required	60

Candidates for participating laboratories	60
Status and Prospects	61
WP-14: System design of ILC DR injection/extraction lockers	63
Technical Preparations Plan	63
Goals of the technical preparation	63
Cost/Budget required	63
Candidates for participating laboratories	63
Status and Prospects	64
Area-System 5: Beam Delivery System	66
Overview	66
Area-System BDS: Work packages	66
WP-15: System design of ILC final focus beamline	67
Technical Preparation Plan	67
Goals of the technical preparation	67
Cost/Budget required	67
Candidates for participating laboratories	67
Status and Prospects	68
WP-16: Final doublet design optimization	70
Technical Preparation Plan	70
Goals of the technical preparation	70
Cost/Budget required	70
Candidates for participating labs	70
Status and Prospects	71
Area System 6: Beam dump	74
Overview	74
Area System Beam Dump: WPs	74
WP-17: System design of the main beam dump	75
Technical Preparations Plan	75
Goals of technical preparation	75
Cost/Budget required	75
Candidates for participating laboratories	75
Status and Prospects	75
WP-18: System design of the photon dump for undulator positron source	78
Technical Preparation Plan	78
Goals of technical preparation	78
Cost/Budget required	78
Candidates for participating laboratories	78
Status and Prospects	79

Area 1: Main Linac and SRF

Resources: 41.7 MILCU*, 282 FTE-yr

Area System 1: ML and SRF

(Ver.2,2021-Jan-06)

Overview:

Approximately 9,000 superconducting RF cavities are produced and used for the assembly of approximately 900 SRF cryomodules (CMs), corresponding to about 25–30% of the total ILC construction cost. It should be noted that the production scales are a factor of at least 10 times larger than those of existing SRF accelerator projects. It is assumed that several regional Hub-Labs will be set up in order to share in the production of large numbers of CMs for the ILC. The CMs will be assembled and first tested in each hub laboratory in a planned fraction, and will then be transported to the ILC Laboratory, where the CM performances in some fraction will be checked, particularly more in the early production stage, before the CM installation into the ILC tunnel.

The Science Council of Japan (SCJ) and the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sport, Science and Technology (MEXT, ILC Advisory Panel) pointed out technical concerns about maintaining cavity quality during mass production and CM assembly. In response to these concerns, this technical preparation plan is proposed to demonstrate the SRF cavity and CM production readiness using cost-effective production methods on a scale of 1% of the full production, corresponding to about 120 cavities and 6 CMs during the ILC Pre-Lab phase in the global collaboration. It should be noted that these numbers of cavities and CMs may be adjusted, depending on regional cooperation/consortium formation with the regional responsibility and funding. The cavity performance will be evaluated to confirm their production success yields in each region, and the plug compatibility will be confirmed. One-third of the cavities will be produced in Japan, and a further one-third in each of the Americas and Europe regions. Of the 120 cavities, 48 were used for six CM assemblies, corresponding to 40%.

Other components such as couplers, tuners, and superconducting magnets are also expected to demonstrate production readiness with cost-effective methods, including their fabrication and performance. Overall testing after assembling these parts into the CM will be the last step for confirming the performance as an accelerator component unit. The Americas and Europe have already integrated significant experience in the cavity and CM production, including the formulation of countermeasures against performance degradation after cryomodule assembly as well as ground CM transport.

The production readiness of SRF crab cavities originally in the BDS sub-system are exceptionally included in the enlarged SRF category from a technical commonality viewpoint, and it is then included as part of the ML-SRF section.

Infrastructure associated with the series of items mentioned above will need to be newly prepared and/or improved with each regional responsibility and financial support, including facilities for cavity testing, surface treatment, conditioning of associate components, CM assembly, and testing.


The contents of this area system mentioned above need to be described in the EDR.

Work package	Items
WP-1: Cavity Industrial-Production Readiness # production: 3 x 40 (16 of 40 go to CM assembly)	Cavity production readiness, incl. cavities w/ He tank + magnetic shield for cavity, high-pressure-gas regulation, surface preparation/heat treatment (HT)/Clean-room work, partly including the 2nd pass, vertical test (VT) Plug compatibility, Nb material, and recipe for surface treatment to be reconfirmed/decided Cavity Production Success yield to be confirmed (before He tank jacketing) Tuner baseline design to be established Note: Infrastructure for surface treatment, HT, VT, pre-tuning, etc. (with each regional responsibility)
WP-2: Cryomodule (CM) Global Transfer and Performance Assurance # production: 3 x 2	Coupler production readiness, including preparation/RF processing (# Couplers, 3 x 20) Note: Infrastructure for coupler conditioning: klystron, baking furnace, and associated environment (with each regional responsibility) Tuner production readiness, including reliability verification (# Tuners, 3 x 20) Superconducting Magnet (SCM: Q+D combined) production readiness (# SCMs, 3 x 3 (1 prototype + 2)) CM production readiness incl. high-pressure-gas, vacuum vessel (VV), cold-mass, and assembly (cavity-string, coupler, tuner, SCM etc.) CM test including degradation mitigation (in 2-CM joint work, etc.) at assembly site before ready for CM transportation CM transportation cage and shock damper to be established Ground transportation practice, using mockup-CM Ground transportation test, using production-CM longer than EuXFEL Global transport of CM by sea shipment (requiring longer container) Performance assurance test after CM global transport (at KEK) Returning transport of CM back to home country (by sea shipment) Note: Hub-lab Infrastructure for the CM production, assembly, and test (with each regional responsibility)
WP-3: Crab Cavity (CC) for BDS #CC production: 4 # CC-CM production: 1	Decision of installation location with cryogenics/RF location accelerator tunnel Design and development of prototype cavity/coupler/tune/CM including beam extraction line Cavity production, including cavities w/ He tank + mag. shield for CM, high-pressure gas regulation, EP/HT/Clean work, including VT Coupler production including preparation/RF processing readiness (excluding klystron, baking furnace, clean room) Tuner production readiness CM production including High-pressure-gas formality, vacuum vessel, cold-mass, and assembly (cavity-string, coupler/tuner, SCM, etc.) CM test including harmonized operation with two cavities CC-CM transport cage and shock damper CC-CM transport tests Infrastructure for CC and CM development and test (with each regional responsibility.)


WP1: Cavity Industrial Production Readiness

- Goals:
 - Prepare cavity mass production
 - **Produce 3x40 cavities (40 / region),**
3 x 16 for cryomodule installation (WP2)
 - Decide tuner design
 - Establish plug-compatible interface
 - Develop recipe for cavity treatment
 - **(Try to) Establish improved cavity gradient / Q0**


Figures related to WP-1:



Global sharing Plan in Technical Preparation for ML-SRF cavities, couplers/tuners, and cryomodules.



ILC-TDR, ML-SRF cavity, cross-section and envelope plug-compatibility.




ILC-TDR, ML-SRF cavity surface process concept.

Goals of the (9-cell) Cavity Technical Preparation:

Parameters	Unit	Design
Baseline: Cavity gradient, E, at Q value (Q_0) (Cost-Reduction R&D goal: E at Q value)	MV/m	35 at $Q \geq 0.8 E10$, 31.5 ($\pm 20\%$) at $Q \geq 1E10$ (38.5 at $Q \geq 1.6E10$, 35 at $Q \geq 2E10$)
Cavity production yield	%	90

WP2: Cryomodule Global Transfer and Performance Assurance

- Goals:
 - Produce 3x2 ILC type cryomodules (2 / region)
 - Develop and prototype all parts: cold mass, coupler, magnet package
 - Develop transport container / cage
 - "CM Global Transfer Program": ship 1 CM/region to Japan,
 - Demonstrate performance in Japan




Goals of the CM technical preparation:

Parameters	Unit	Design
Cavity-string field gradient after CM assembly, E, at Q value (Q_0)	MV/m	31.5 ($\pm 20\%$) at $Q \geq 1E10$
Note: 10% lower E than that of the 9-cell cavity specification		


WP3: Crab-Cavity System

- Goals
 - Design, produce and test prototype cryomodule: cavity, coupler, tuner, cryomodule


Figures related to this WP-3:



Top view near the interaction point to install crab cavity (CC)



Luminosity degradation by crossing angle (7 mrad in the case of ILC)



Design of 3.9-GHz 9-cell CC presented in TDR.



(a)



(b)

(a) Two 3.9 GHz packages in CM for Euro-XFEL injector as a reference (C, Maiano et al., SRF2015, MOPB076), and (b) 3.9 GHz CM for Euro-XFEL as a reference (cited from ILC Technical Design Report (TDR))

<u>Goals of technical preparation:</u>		
Parameters	Unit	Design
Crab kick voltage at beam energy of 125 GeV	MV	0.615 @ 3.9 GHz 1.845 @ 1.3 GHz
Uncorrelated phase jitter at 125 GeV (rms)	fs	49

Area 2 = WP4: Electron Source

Goals

- Re-evaluate the drive laser design and cost, build a prototype to demonstrate the beam pattern,
- Design a higher voltage gun 350 kV with greater reliability/headroom, and build it,
- Evaluate if higher gun voltage and shorter laser pulse length relaxes harmonic bunching,
- Produce GaAs/GaAsP photocathodes with $P > 90\%$, $QE > 1\%$, work with vendor to commercialize

Resources

- 2,6 MILCU + 6 FTE-y







Fig. 2. Quantum efficiency and polarization versus wavelength for commercial photocathodes: (top) Single-strained layer GaAs/GaAsP photocathode fabricated by SPIRE/Bandwidth Semiconductor, (bottom) strained-superlattice GaAs/GaAsP photocathode fabricated by SVT Associates.

Area System 3: Positron Source

- 2 Concepts:
 - Undulator source (baseline)
 - Electron driven source (backup)
- **Downselect during prelab phase**
-> now planned for **mid-24**



Area System 3: Positron Source

(Ver.2,2021-Jan-06)

Introduction:

Two different positron sources are simultaneously being studied currently: the undulator scheme (baseline) and the electron-driven scheme (backup). The former is described in detail in the ILC TDR (Vol 3-II, Chapter 5). The undulator scheme can provide a polarized positron beam; however, it is a new technology. Therefore, a backup scheme has also been studied for safety as briefly described in the TDR (Vol 3-I 4.3.11.1). As of May 2018, the status of the two schemes has been summarized in [1]. One of these two schemes must be selected by an appropriate deadline as the positron source for the project start. The two schemes require significantly different civil engineering designs for the tunnel and utility, which demand considerable cost and time. Hence, the positron scheme for the project start must be selected sufficiently early. According to the timeline of the Pre-Lab that is presently considered, an internal review is planned in the second half of the second year of Pre-Lab. Thus, the scheme must be selected early in this respect as well. In contrast, more time is necessary to achieve the required technology with 100% certainty. As a compromise, we plan to make the decision at the end of the first year of the Pre-Lab period. According to the presently accepted schedule for Pre-Lab this corresponds to March 2023. The procedure for making the decision is to be discussed in the ILC Pre-Lab, not in the IDT.

In the following sections, some R&D items are assigned “priority” (or “Goal by Mar. 2023”). This means that such items must produce results by the above deadline, whereas work on items that are not assigned “priority” can continue during the remaining three years of the Pre-Lab period.

If the corresponding scheme is not selected, the R&D of these items may not be performed in the Pre-Lab but may be subject to future upgrades, depending on their contents.

The two schemes both require a remote target replacement technology. The technologies contain many common aspects such that only one of them is listed in the following (in the e-driven positron source section).


The contents of this area system mentioned above need to be described in the EDR.

References


- 1) Positron Working Group Report, May 23, 2018,
<http://edmsdirect.desy.de/item/D00000001165115>

Area System 3.1: Undulator Positron Source

- 3 Work Packages
- Resources: 0.9 MILCU, 10 FTE-yr
- Main Goals:
 - Undulator: improve parameters (simulation)
 - Target and capture device: Build prototypes
 - Demonstrate cooling concept and vacuum
 - Demonstrate field strength and pulse length of matching device



<u>Area System Undulator Positron Source: Work packages (WPs)</u>	
<i>Work package</i>	<i>Items</i>
WP- 5: Undulator	Simulation (field, errors, alignment)
WP- 6: Rotating target	Design finalization, partial laboratory test, mock-up design Magnetic bearings: performance, specification, test Full wheel validation, mock-up
WP- 7: Magnetic focusing system	Design selection (FC, QWT, pulsed solenoid, plasma lens), with yield calculation OMD with fully assembled wheel



Area System 3.2: Electron-Driven Positron Source

- 4 Work Packages
 - 3 electron driven specific
 - 1 for target handling: both concepts
- Resources:
 - 4.4 MILCU, 5 FTE-yr
- Goals:
 - Stable operation of (existing) target prototype
 - Build flux concentrator prototype
 - Design capture linac
 - Scaled model of remote target handling concept




Fig. 4: Design of the central shaft of the target handling system.




Fig. 5 Flux Concentrator Design.




Fig. 7: K300 modulator by Scandinova Co. for L-band APS cavity.

<i>Area System Electron-Driven Positron Source: Work packages (WPs)</i>	
<i>Work package</i>	<i>Items</i>
WP- 8: Rotating target	Target stress calculation with FEM Vacuum seal Target module prototyping
WP- 9: Magnetic focusing system	Flux concentrator conductor Transmission line Flux concentrator system prototyping
WP- 10: Capture cavity, linac	APS cavity for the capture linac Capture linac beam loading compensation and tuning method. Capture linac operation and commissioning Power unit prototyping Solenoid prototyping Capture linac prototyping
WP-11: Target Maintenance	Target Maintenance (common issue for undulator and e-driven sources)


Area System 4: Damping Ring

- 3 Work Packages
- Resources:
 - 2.5 MILCU, 29 FTE-yr
- Goals:
 - Lattice and system design of damping rings, investigate permanent magnet option -> fixes beam polarity, no e^-e^- running
 - Investigate & mitigate instabilities from collective effects (electron cloud, fast ion)
 - Design fast kickers for injection & extraction



Area-System Damping ring: Work packages:

Work package	Items
WP- 12: System design of ILC damping ring	Optics optimization, simulation of the dynamic aperture with magnet model Magnet design : Normal conducting magnet Magnet design : Permanent magnet Prototyping of permanent magnet
WP- 13: Evaluation of the collective effect in the ILC damping ring	Simulation : Electron cloud instability Simulation : Ion-trapping instability Simulation : Fast ion instability (FII) System design : Fast FB for FII Beam test : Fast FB for FII
WP- 14: System design of ILC DR injection/extraction kickers	Fast kicker: System design of DR and LTR/RTL optics optimization Fast kicker: Hardware preparation of FID pulsar Fast kicker: System design & prototyping of induction kicker Fast kicker: Long-term stability test at ATF E-driven kicker: System design including induction kicker development



Area System 5: Beam Delivery System

- 2 Work packages
- Resources:
 - 2.2 MILCU, 14 FTE-yr
- Goals:
 - **Further develop Final Focus System -> ATF3**
 - Prototype of final focus magnet package

Area-System 5: Beam Delivery System

(Ver.1,2020-Dec-29)

Overview:

The ILC beam delivery system (BDS) is responsible for transporting the electron and positron beams from the exit of the main linac (ML), focusing them to the sizes required to satisfy the ILC luminosity goals, causing them to collide, and then transporting the spent beams to the main beam dumps.

The final focus (FF) system is one of the main systems of the BDS. The main purpose of the FF system is to squeeze the electron and positron beams until nanometer level at the interaction point (IP) keeping at the same time a control of the position at the order of nanometer. The ATF2 beamline was designed and constructed by an international collaboration as a facility to validate the design of the ILC FF system. The tuning of the beam to achieve the nanometer beam size level as well as the feedback system to control the position at the IP have been carried out as part of this collaboration. In particular a prototype feedback system for the ILC has been verified to satisfy all ILC requirements, such as time delay, beam position monitor resolution, drive amplifier power, and beam correction dynamic range. A complete validation of the ILC FFS will be continued during the Pre-Lab period in the framework of the ATF international collaboration.

The present ILC design includes a single IP with a 14 mrad beam crossing angle. The 14 mrad geometry provides space for separate extraction lines and requires crab cavities to rotate the bunches horizontally for head-on collisions. There are two detectors in a common interaction region (IR) hall that alternately occupy a single collision point, in a so-called "push-pull" configuration. This approach, which is considerably more exigent for detector assembly and operation than a configuration with two separate interaction regions, has been chosen for budget reasons. The superconducting FD magnet and cryostat package for the ILC were designed by BNL, and the technology for the superconducting FD magnets was demonstrated by a series of short prototype multi-pole coils at the ILC TDR stage. To assess the choice of the most appropriate technology a detailed FD system based on the ILC TDR will be necessary in the ILC pre-Lab period. Furthermore, since the FD package has an impact on the ILC physics detectors, the system design will have to be implemented in coordination with the ILC physics detector groups.

The contents of this area system mentioned above need to be described in the EDR (Engineering Design Report).

<u>Area-System BDS: Work packages:</u>	
WP-15: System design of ILC final focus beamline	ILC-FFS system design: Hardware optimization
	ILC-FFS system design: Realistic beam line driven / IP design
	ILC-FFS beam tests: Long-Term stability
	ILC-FFS beam tests: High-order aberrations
	ILC-FFS beam tests: R&D complementary studies
WP-16: Final doublet design optimization	Re-optimization of TDR FF design considering new coil winding technology and IR design advances.
	Assemble QD0 prototype, connect to Service Cryostat and undertake warm/cold vibration stability measurements with a sensitivity of a few nanometers.

WP15: System design of ILC final focus beamline


Goals

- Further develop Final Focus System
- Test the concepts at ATF-3
 - **ATF3 ILC-FFS assessment system design**
 - Hardware optimization: vacuum chambers, magnets, IP-BSM laser, CBPMs, IP-BPMs
 - Realistic S2E “beam-dynamics-driven” design and IP optimization
 - **ATF3 ILC-FFS oriented beam tests**
 - Long-term stability: nominal ($10\beta_x^* \times \beta_y^*$) routine operation assessment
 - High-order aberrations
 - Other ILC R&D complementary studies: ILC collimation issues, ILC type CPBMs, new instrumentation

Goals of the technical preparation:


System design of beam optics and hardware for the ILC FF beamline, based on the established technologies is necessary. The specification of the ILC FF beamline is designed using the following parameters.

Parameters	Unit	Design
Beam Energy	GeV	125
Bunch population		2E10
IP beam size (H/V)	mm / nm	0.515 / 7.66
IP position stabilization		$\leq 0.2\sigma_y^*$





ILC FFS - ATF3 objective and collaboration:

Based on the achievements of the ATF2, ATF3 plan is to pursue the necessary R&D to **maximize** the **luminosity potential of ILC**. In particular the assessment of the ILC FFS system design from the point of view of the beam dynamics aspects and the technological/hardware choices and the **long-term stability operation issues**.



Area System 6: Beam Dumps

- 2 Work packages
- Resources:
 - 3.2 MILCU, 12 FTE-yr
- Goals:
 - Engineering design of main dump system
-> impact on civil engineering design
 - Engineering design of photon dump for undulator positron source




<u>Area System Beam Dump: WPs:</u>	
	Engineering design of water flow system
WP- 17: System design of the main beam dump	Engineering design and prototyping of components; vortex flow in the dump vessel, heat exchanger, hydrogen recombiner
	Engineering design and prototyping of window sealing and remote exchange
	Design of the countermeasure for failures / safety system
WP- 18: System design of the photon dump for undulator positron source	System design and component test of water curtain dump
	System design and component test of graphite dump

Review Process

- Technical Preparation Plan will be reviewed
- 3x3 Reviewers
 - Regionally balanced
 - External
- Target: late February / March
- 2-3 hours each for SRF, DR/BDS/dump, sources
- Review criteria:
 - Is the R&D plan complete
 - Are the Work Packages appropriate
 - Less emphasis on cost estimates and list of possibly participating labs

Towards the Engineering Design Reports EDR

Extend the work package structure: accelerator design -> EDR



Summary and Conclusions

- R&D Program for the Prelab Phase has been formulated
 - > Review in preparation
- Money-wise, focus is on SRF, especially high gradient cavities and cryomodule transport
- New activity on electron source
- Positron source in focus, technology decision schedule provides time for (re)formation of R&D efforts
- Damping ring design continues, permanent magnet option of interest
 - > would fix beam polarity (no e-e-)
- BDS R&D continues – boosts ATF-3 programme, synergies with CLIC
- Dumps are a critical R&D item
- Next step: From R&D to design:
 - Take up the overall accelerator design again – formulate plan for prelab
 - Design complete accelerator systems
 - Value engineering of important (in terms of volume or value) components and subsystems
 - Provide input to management (cost and schedule) and civil engineering (power, cooling)

Reserve

- Undulator regarded as mature
- Goals:
 - Simulation of heating by the photons
 - Simulation with field errors and misalignment
 - Optimization study of undulator parameters (pitch, K, aperture)

WP-5: Undulator Technology

(Ver.2,2021-Jan-06)

Technical Preparation Plan:

The TDR adopted a superconducting helical undulator with an 11.5 mm pitch, a maximum K parameter of 0.92 (a maximum field of 0.86 T), and a beam aperture diameter of 5.85 mm. One undulator is 1.75 m long (field length), and two undulators are stored in a cryostat at an operating temperature of 4.2 K. The total net length presented in the TDR was 147 m; however, it was increased to 231 m (132 undulators) when the center-of-mass energy at the project start was reduced from 500 to 250 GeV.

A pair of undulators was fabricated and tested at the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (RAL) and at Cornell University (TDR 3-I, p.128); the pair exhibited sufficient magnetic field strength. Thus, in the entire undulator scheme, the undulator technology itself is relatively well established, even though a few simulation problems remain. Moreover, it may be possible to reoptimize the undulator parameters. These are the subjects of this WP.



Goals of the technical preparation (for Pre-Lab phase 2022-2025)

The technical preparation items for the target technology are as follows.

- Simulation of heating by the photons
- Simulation with field errors and misalignment
- Optimization study of undulator parameters (pitch, K, aperture)

- Goals

- Design finalization of the rotating wheel with radiative cooling design and laboratory test of a stationary sector model. This is labelled as “priority” item.
- Magnetic bearings, feasibility study
- **Fabrication of full model**



WP7: Magnetic Focussing System

- Goals:
 - Final design choice among the possible focusing devices with yield calculation
 - Construction of the prototype of OMD and the rotating wheel




Fig. 2 OMD: Pulsed solenoid and generated B-field.




Fig. 3 Expected e^+ yield depending on the B-Field at the target exit

WP8: Target

- Goals:
 - More accurate calculation of the target stress and fatigue effect to improve the design
 - The required high vacuum (in the order of $e-6$ Pa at the accelerator) should be maintained for a long time.
 - Stable target prototype operation; the test operation of the target prototype is set to start in the Spring of 2021.




Fig. 3: Schematic of the target cross section. [1]






Fig. 4: Design of the central shaft of the target. [1]

- Goals:
 - The electrical, thermal, and mechanical properties of the FC conductor should be verified through simulations
 - Transmission line design
 - Power source design
 - FC system (FC conductor, transmission line, and power source) **prototyping and test operation**



- Goals:
 - RF design of APS cavity
 - Establish beam loading compensation and linac tuning method
 - Power unit design and prototyping (L-band klystron + modulator)
 - Solenoid magnet design
 - Test operation of APS cavity with developed power source



WP11: Target Maintenance (both source concepts)

- Goals
 - Complete the technical design
 - Fabricate a mock-up to confirm the function
 - Develop a fail-safe system




Fig. 9: Side cross-sectional view of target module on rails for easy transportation: front side (upstream of beam), 30 cm boronized concrete shield and 20 cm Fe shield placed for protection.





Fig. 8: Floor layout of the target section: the central red rectangle is the target module: the shaded gray area is boronized concrete shield: the lower cavern is the target storage area.

WP12: System Design of ILC Damping Ring

- Goals
 - Optimize beam optics
 - Evaluate effect of magnet field errors
 - Investigate Potential to use permanent magnets: build prototypes



Goals of the technical preparation:


System design of the beam optics for the ILC DR. The DR specifications are as follows.

Parameters	Symbol	Unit	Design
Normalized emittance	$\gamma \epsilon_x / \gamma \epsilon_y$	$\mu\text{m} / \text{nm}$	4.0 / 20 at N=2E10
Dynamic aperture	$\gamma(A_x + A_y)$	M	0.07 (action variable)
Longitudinal acceptance	$\Delta\delta \times \Delta z$	% \times mm	$\pm 0.75 \times \pm 33$



WP13: Evaluation of collective effects in ILC damping ring

- Goals
 - Investigate (simulate) electron cloud (EC) and Fast Ion Instabilities (FII)
 - Develop high-resolution fast feedback system




Goals of the technical preparation:

Evaluation of the collective effect correction in the ILC DR. The beam stabilities in the DR after correction are reduced to be following parameters:

<i>Parameters</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Design</i>
Bunch population		2E10
Number of bunches in DR	Bunches	1312 / 2625
Beam position fluctuation		$\leq 0.2\sigma_y$

WP14: System design of ILC DR injection/extraction kickers

- Goals
 - Perform long-term stability test of fast kicker system at ATF
 - Develop injection kicker system for electron driven source






Goals of the technical preparation:

System design of the beam injection and extraction for the ILC DR, based on the existing hardware. The specifications of the DR beam injection/extraction are as follows.

Parameters	Unit	Design
Number of bunches in DR	Bunches	1312 / 2625 (optional)
Repetition rate	Hz	5


WP16: Final Doublet Design Optimization

- Goals
 - Design final focus magnets for 250GeV
 - Build prototype



WP17: System design of the main dump

- Goal:
 - Establish the engineering design of the whole dump system.



WP18: Design of photon dump for the undulator positron source

- Goal:
 - The system design of the photon dump is established at an engineering level, including the photon absorption structure, infrastructures for cooling, and the maintenance of the activated equipment




Figure 1: Configuration of undulator positron source




Figure 2: Configuration of water curtain photon dump.

