About a Comment in the Review Report

There was a claim in sources subgroup about the text

"Within the limited time available in this review, the electron-driven source appears to be the 'lower risk' candidate. However, the baseline requirement of polarisation cannot be ignored. This suggests that the undulator-based source would be the favored candidate IF feasibility can be assured."

• Tor states this should read as "Within the limited time available in this review, the electron-driven source appears to be the 'lower risk' candidate. However, the advantages of positiron polarisation cannot be ignored and hence a polarized explanation cannot be baseline choice in the ILC TDR. This suggests that the undulator-based source would be the favored candidate IF feasibility can be assured."