



A low-scale supersymmetric neutrino seesaw and its resolution at the ILC

Werner Porod

(Uni. Würzburg)

in coll. with J. Masias, N. Cerna-Velazco, J. Jones-Perez (PRD **103** (2021) no.11, 115028)

16 Sept. 2021





Overview

Model and scenario selection

Discovery potential at ILC with $\sqrt{s} = 1 \text{ TeV}$

Slepton mass reconstruction

Conclusions & outlook



Model

UNIVERSITÄT WÜRZBURG

MSSM + 3 $\hat{\nu}_R$ at electroweak scale

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{W}_{\text{MSSM}} + \frac{1}{2} (M_R)_{ij} \,\hat{\nu}_{Ri} \,\hat{\nu}_{Rj} + (Y_\nu)_{ij} \,\hat{L}_i \cdot \hat{H}_u \,\hat{\nu}_{Rj}$$
$$\mathcal{V}^{soft} = \mathcal{V}^{soft}_{\text{MSSM}} + (m^2_{\tilde{\nu}_R})_{ij} \tilde{\nu}^*_{Ri} \tilde{\nu}_{Rj} + \left(\frac{1}{2} (B_{\tilde{\nu}})_{ij} \tilde{\nu}_{Ri} \tilde{\nu}_{Rj} + (T_\nu)_{ij} \,\tilde{L}_i \cdot H_u \,\tilde{\nu}_{Rj} + \text{h.c.}\right)$$

Assumptions

- $|\mu| \ll |M_i| \Rightarrow$ higgsino-like $\tilde{\chi}^0_{1,2}$ and $\tilde{\chi}^+_1$
- $\blacktriangleright B_{\tilde{\nu}} = T_{\nu} = 0$
- ▶ flavour diagonal slepton mass matrices and $m_{\tilde{L}}^2 = m_{\tilde{E}}^2$
- $m_{\nu_{R,1}} \sim O(\text{keV}), m_{\nu_{R,2}} = m_{\nu_{R,3}} = 20 \text{ GeV}$



Scenarios



Parameter region: $\mu = 500 \text{ GeV}$, $\tan \beta = 6$, $m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \in [0, 200] \text{ GeV}$, $m_{\tilde{L}} = m_{\tilde{E}} \in [100, 450] \text{ GeV}$

 \Rightarrow decay modes for 1st and 2nd generation sleptons

 $BR(\tilde{l} \to \tilde{\nu}_L W^*) \sim 0.9$ and $BR(\tilde{l} \to \tilde{\nu}_R W) \sim 0.1$ $BR(\tilde{\tau}_2 \to \tilde{\nu}_L W^*) \gtrsim 0.95$ and $BR(\tilde{\tau}_2 \to \tilde{\tau}_1 Z^*) \lesssim 0.05$



 $m_{\tilde{n}u_R} = 100 \, \text{GeV}$





note:

slepton decays lead here to same signatures as light electroweakinos within MSSM

Consider the following 3 cases

- ► scenario SE: the only light MSSM sleptons are \tilde{e}_L , \tilde{e}_R , $\tilde{\nu}_{e,L}$ (no difference to 2^{nd} generation)
- \blacktriangleright scenario ST: the only light MSSM sleptons are $ilde{ au}_1$, $ilde{ au}_2$, $ilde{
 u}_{ au,L}$
- ▶ scenario DEG: all three slepton generations have same soft mass $m_{\tilde{L}} = m_{\tilde{E}}$



LHC constraints

dominant production processes



 $p \, p o \tilde{l}_L \tilde{\nu}_{lL}$ and $p \, p o \tilde{\tau}_{1,2} \tilde{\nu}_{\tau L}$

 $W^{(*)} + (Z/h)^{(*)} + p_T^{miss}$ or $2(Z/h)^{(*)} + p_T^{miss}$

FR.



LHC constraints



scenario DEG



using CheckMATE 2.0, based on $L = 35.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

excluded, • ambigous, ◊ allowed





We are mainly interested in the following channels:

$$\begin{split} e^-e^+ &\to \tilde{l}^-\tilde{l}^+ \,, \qquad \tilde{l}^- \to \tilde{\nu}_L \,f\,f' \\ e^-e^+ &\to \tilde{\nu}_L \,\bar{\tilde{\nu}}_L \,, \qquad \tilde{\nu}_L \to \tilde{\nu}_R \,Z/h \\ e^-e^+ &\to \tilde{\tau}_1^-\tilde{\tau}_1^+ \,, \qquad \tilde{\tau}_1 \to \tilde{\nu}_R \,W \end{split}$$







Cuts[†]

- ▶ $p_T^{\rm miss} > 50~{\rm GeV}$ (note, that $m_{\tilde{\nu}_R}$ can be of the order of a few GeV)
- exactly four jets or b-jets with $p_T^j > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- \blacktriangleright Two reconstructed SM bosons with two pairs of dijets with invariant masses m_1, m_2 minimizing

$$f(m_1, m_2) = \frac{(m_1 - m_{B1})^2 + (m_2 - m_{B2})^2}{\sigma^2} < 4 \quad \text{with } \sigma = 5$$

- \blacktriangleright no leptons with $p_T^l > 25~{\rm GeV}$
- \blacktriangleright angle between the beam direction and $ec{p}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ is constrained such that

 $|\cos(\theta_{\rm miss})| < 0.99$

[†] based on T. Suehara and J. List, Chargino and Neutralino Separation with the ILD Experiment, (arXiv:0906.5508 (hep-ex)).

















UNIVERSITÄT WÜRZBURG





AR

Scenarios & assumptions



- Assume, we have observed some new signal
- want to get least information on the mass possibility: mass reconstruction via endpoints
- assume $E_{beam} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ and $L = 1000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
- neglect possible effects due to ISR and beamstrahlung (for mass reconstruction formula only, not in the simulation)

•
$$m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} = 100 \text{ GeV}, m_{\tilde{L}} = 300 \text{ GeV}$$



Method



Consider energy spectrum of produced boson B from slepton 2-body decays

$$\begin{split} m_{\tilde{\ell},\tilde{\nu}_L} &= \frac{2E_{\text{beam}}}{E_{B+} + E_{B-}} E'_B , \qquad m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} = \sqrt{m_{\tilde{\ell}}^2 + m_B^2 - 2E'_B m_{\tilde{\ell}}} \\ E'_B &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(E_{B+} + E_{B-} + m_B^2) \pm \sqrt{(E_{B+}^2 - m_B^2)(E_{B-}^2 - m_B^2)}} \end{split}$$

We obtain 2 possible values consistent with the measurement \Rightarrow we need at least 2 datasets in order the fix the correct sign of E'_B

Same cuts as before, but require now that both bosons in the final state are the same (WW, ZZ, hh)

- \Rightarrow Events fall in three datasets, W-like, Z-like and h-like:
 - $\tilde{\tau}_1^+ \tilde{\tau}_1^- \to W$ -like $\to 4j$ (excluding *b*-jets)
 - $\blacktriangleright ~ \tilde{\nu}_L \overline{\tilde{\nu}}_L \rightarrow Z/h\text{-like} \rightarrow 4j/4b$
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \tilde{l}^+ \tilde{l}^- \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_L \overline{\tilde{\nu}}_L \rightarrow Z/h\text{-like} \rightarrow 4j/4b$



Method



1. We take the MC events corresponding to the SM background, and use them to fit the six parameters of the following distribution:

 $f_{SM}(E; E_{\rm SM-}, a_{0-2}, \sigma_{\rm SM}, \Gamma_{\rm SM}) = \int_{E_{\rm SM-}}^{\infty} (a_2 E'^2 + a_1 E' + a_0) V(E' - E, \sigma_{\rm SM}, \Gamma_{\rm SM}) dE'$

- 2. Using the fitted parameters, we generate 100 new datasets of SM background following the f_{SM} distribution.
- 3. For each SM dataset, we fit the sum of the SUSY and SM spectra into a new distribution:

$$\begin{split} f(E; \, E_{B-}, \, E_{B+}, \, b_{0-2}, \sigma_1, \Gamma_1) &= f_{SM}(E; \, E_{\mathrm{SM}-}, a_{0-2}, \sigma_{\mathrm{SM}}, \Gamma_{\mathrm{SM}}) \\ &+ \int_{E_{B-}}^{E_{B+}} (b_2 E'^2 + b_1 E' + b_0) V(E' - E, \sigma_1, \Gamma_1) dE' \end{split}$$

[†] based on T. Suehara and J. List, Chargino and Neutralino Separation with the ILD Experiment, (arXiv:0906.5508 (hep-ex)).





Scenario SE



1 16





Scenario ST



(W. Porod, Uni. Würzburg)

A low-scale supersymmetric neutrino seesaw ... IDT-WG3-Phys Open Meeting, 16 Sept. 2021





Scenario DEG





Mass reconstruction

Endpoints

SE	SI	DEG	Theory
95.24 ± 3.77	80.49 ± 0.43	81.52 ± 0.64	80.88 / 80.41
347.38 ± 18.35	398.11 ± 1.11	398.59 ± 1.15	399.81 / 399.09
91.90 ± 0.40	92.66 ± 0.65	92.38 ± 0.84	91.66
397.52 ± 1.79	397.85 ± 1.82	397.92 ± 1.75	398.53
136.89 ± 1.45	137.05 ± 1.69	137.05 ± 1.01	137.25
396.09 ± 1.18	396.00 ± 1.29	395.70 ± 0.61	395.65
	$\begin{array}{c} 95.24 \pm 3.77 \\ 347.38 \pm 18.35 \\ 91.90 \pm 0.40 \\ 397.52 \pm 1.79 \\ 136.89 \pm 1.45 \\ 396.09 \pm 1.18 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	95.24 \pm 3.77 80.49 \pm 0.43 81.52 \pm 0.64 347.38 \pm 18.35 398.11 \pm 1.11 398.59 \pm 1.15 91.90 \pm 0.40 92.66 \pm 0.65 92.38 \pm 0.84 397.52 \pm 1.79 397.85 \pm 1.82 397.92 \pm 1.75 136.89 \pm 1.45 137.05 \pm 1.69 137.05 \pm 1.01 396.09 \pm 1.18 396.00 \pm 1.29 395.70 \pm 0.61

Resulting masses

Scenario	SE	ST	DEG	Theory
$m_{ ilde{\ell}_1}$ (GeV)	-	296.91 ± 10.69	290.51 ± 10.01	294.47
$m_{\tilde{\nu}_L}$ (GeV)	293.63 ± 3.12	293.32 ± 3.61	293.41 ± 2.15	293.37
$m_{ ilde{ u}_R}$ (GeV)	100.52 ± 1.65	101.14 ± 1.36	100.05 ± 0.67	100.00







Conclusions

- Scenarios with $\tilde{\nu}_R$ LSP can be quite challenging at the LHC
- ▶ ILC with $\sqrt{s} = 1$ TeV: discovery expected for $m_{\tilde{L}} \in [100, 450]$ GeV if $m_{\tilde{l}} m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} m_B \gtrsim 60$ GeV
- Using an endpoint method, the slepton masses of such scenario can be reconstructed





Open tasks & questions

- how to get the remaining masses
- how to extend method in case of

 $e^+e^-
ightarrow \tilde{\nu}_L \, \overline{\tilde{\nu}}_L
ightarrow \tilde{\nu}_R \, h \, Z$

• explore mass reconstruction in case of 3-body decays, becomes in particular important in case of smaller Y_{ν} couplings and/or if \tilde{l}_R are significantly lighter thant \tilde{l}_L .





Backup slides

(W. Porod, Uni. Würzburg)

A low-scale supersymmetric neutrino seesaw ... IDT-WG3-Phys Open Meeting, 16 Sept. 2021 2.



LHC constraints



scenario SE



using CheckMATE 2.0, based on $L = 35.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

excluded, • ambigous, ◊ allowed





Background / Signal rates

	Туре В		Туре L	
$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	Events	Efficiency (%)	Events	Efficiency (%)
W^+W^-	13	0.005	90	0.003
$\nu \nu Z$	2	0.003	23	0.003
$t\bar{t}$	101	0.1	256	0.2
ZZ	36	0.06	75	0.05
$\nu \nu h$	1	0.003	11	0.005
Zh	52	0.7	81	0.6
ZW^+W^-	74	1	902	1
$b\overline{b}b\overline{b}$	2	0.07	6	0.08
$\nu\nu W^+W^-$	88	4	1132	4
$t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$	1	0.08	2	0.08
$\nu\nu ZZ$	34	5	315	5
hW^+W^-	3	0.7	24	0.6
ZZZ	7	3	28	3
hZZ	1	1	5	2
hhZ	1	0.8	1	0.8
$\nu \nu h h$	1	2	2	2
All background	417	0.08	2950	0.06
All signal, scenario SE	758	5	1019	5
All signal, scenario ST	922	6	1245	6
All signal, scenario DEG	2413	6	3232	6

L 500 fb⁻¹. The last rows includes the sum of events from all signal processes, including cascades, for comparison. The efficiency columns refer to the ratio between the number of events after the cuts over those initially generated.

(W. Porod, Uni. Würzburg)





Numerical Tools

Throughout this paper we have used SARAH 4.14.0 to implement the model in SPheno 4.0.4, which calculates the mass spectrum and branching ratios. We used SSP 1.2.5 to carry out the parameter variation. The SARAH output also includes UFO files that enter LHC and ILC event generators.

For LHC studies we use MadGraph5_aMC@NLO 2.7.0 followed by PYTHIA 8.244, which generates the showering and hadronization. Events are generated with the CTEQ6L1 PDF set. The detector simulation and event reconstruction is carried out by DELPHES 3.4.2, using the built-in ATLAS and CMS cards. To generate the exclusion regions we processed these events by by CheckMATE 2.0.26, which determines if a specific point has been excluded or not by the considered searches.

For our ILC analysis we use WHIZARD 2.6.2. This simulation includes ISR and beamstrahlung implemented with CIRCE1-2.2.0. The parton shower and hadronization of the jets was carried out with the built-in version of PYTHIA 6.427. The detector simulation was again done by DELPHES, using the built-in ILD card.