## <u>APV test – signal divider.</u>



# The goal

- In the system we're working with, there are 128 (0-127) channels conducting the signal. (we call them "<u>main channels</u>")
- Every one of those channels goes thru 2 APVs
- One to read the original signal, we call it "<u>REG"</u>.
- And the second one divides the signal, we call it "DIV".
- The first goal is to find the ratio between the two APVs.
- The second goal is to confirm the wave matches the shaping formula.

### The system

- The APV is connected to an Oscilloscope with a wave generator, and the signal goes thru 3 voltage dividers (20dB each)
- the APV takes a sample every 25ns for each channel, and all 21 samples are called an event.
- The APV is connected to an SRS which transmits the data to the computer.
- The data is saved on the computer as ROOT files using the "mmDaq" software.
- The trigger is set to save every event which has at least one sample above a certain threshold.

### The system



In this test we used the Oscilloscope to simulate the sensor and send the following signal:

- ➤Waveform pulse.
- ≻Frequency 25Hz.
- ➢Amplitude 900mV. (before 60 dB attenuation)
- ≻Width 20 ns.

# The system

- The dividing card who divdes the signal to 128 (0-127) Main channels has four entries/ injections.
- By testing every injection we could see which channels are activated by each one.
- This are the results:
- In1 channels 1,5,9 etc
- In2 channels 3,7,11 etc
- In3 channels 0,4,8 etc
- In4 channels 2,6,10 etc



- Next we needed to have better understanding about the APV.
- Both APV have 128 channels to read and they are numbered from 0 to 127.
- When everything is connected the APVs are facing each other.
- that means ch 0 on REG is facing ch 127 on DIV, and they both receives signal from the same main channel.

| GND                          | CH 127  |
|------------------------------|---|
| CH 126                       | CH 125  |
| CH 124                       | CH 123  |
| CH 122                       | CH 121  |
|                              |   |
|                              |   |
|                              |   |
|                              |   |
| ·                            | the second se |
|                              |   |
| :                            | :   |
| 1                            | 1   |
|                              | -   |
|                              | :   |
| =                            |   |
| сн 8                         | :   |
| СН 8                         | СН 7<br>СН 5  |
| сн 8<br>Сн 6<br>Сн 4         | СН 7<br>СН 5<br>СН 3  |
| CH 8<br>CH 6<br>CH 4<br>CH 2 | СН 7<br>СН 5<br>СН 3<br>СН 1  |



APV2 "DIV"





#### APV1 "REG"

- we wanted to see the signal of every channel.
- We examined 1000 events in each channel and found the value of the highest signal mesured in every event(\*1).
- then created histograms show them for every channel(\*2).



- The second step is creating a gaussian fit to the histogram to find an accurate average for the signal results.
- The reason we're not using the histogram mean is to filter out small events caused by noise.
- The gauss fit mean returns the most common results for the peak.



- Since we know the APVs are mirrored, we need to pair every ch on REG with 127- ch number on the DIV
- i.e. if we're looking at channel 10 in REG it will face channel 127-10=117 on DIV.





- Also we needed to keep in mind to avoid saturation.
- when APV REG saturates, DIV keeps going up as the signal is increased.
- It starts to saturate at 1500 and reach it's limit at 2000.
- Therefore to be safe we stayed below 1400.



- Once we had an average value for all the channels, we divided the matching channels from REG and DIV.
- this are the results for two different Runs, and injections:



1.5

'n

20

40

60

80

100

120 channel

- After this process we got better knowledge on the system and tried a different method.
- For every channel, We took the peaks from every single event and divided the value from REG by the value from DIV.
- That way you can see the same signal from one main channel through both APVs and measure the ratio between them





оb

ratio



 The following histogram are filled with the ratio between the APVs for every single event

 The increase of the ration is something foreseen because of the internal effect of the APV (the more cannel, the more capacitance)







# Fitting the pulse

• Using the function:

 $V = \frac{e^{\frac{t\theta - t}{\tau}} (t - t\theta) P\theta}{\tau}$ 

- Tau is around 2.5 but not exactly.
- It seems the wave is not exactly as it should be.



### **Conclusion**

- The ratio between the APVs is going around 3.
- though it changes depends on different terms such as:
  - Amplitude
  - Channel number
  - Noise
  - runs
- The shape of the wave Is still not accurate and should be modified, probably by changing the circuits component
- It is possible that once the wave will be correct the results of the ratio would be more stable.