

participants:

Y. Kato, J. Tian, K. Fujii, T. Suehara, T. Watanabe, K. Yumino, T. Takahiro, Y. Aoki, K. Hidaka, D. Jeans

— announcement

ECFA Higgs factory kickoff meeting June 18

<https://indico.cern.ch/event/1033941/>

IDT-WG3-Phys June 17

ILC Pre-Lab proposal submitted to MEXT

Official budget request deadline August

— focused talk: kinematic fitting (Y. Kato)

- test process: $ZH \rightarrow \mu\mu bb / qqbb$

- constraints: 4-momentum conservations; Higgs mass; Z mass B-W soft constraint

- fit objects: 4 jet energies + 1 ISR photon

Q: P3, why is there a peak for ZZ at m_W in $m(Z)$ plot?

A: because hard constraint on $m(bb)$, $m(qq)$ is pulled to lower value

C: kinematic fitting for three hypotheses $ZH / ZZ / WW$, χ^2 can be used to separate S & B

Q: b-tag peak at 1?

Q: P4, bottom-left for b-jet, each event two entries merged

A: yes

Q: flavor dependence?

Q: m_{10} meaning?

A: Scale mass of 10 GeV

- signal: $ZH \rightarrow \mu\mu \phi\phi \rightarrow \mu\mu bbbb$

- kinematic fitter:

- basic method assumes non-Gaussian response

- log-likelihood function for arbitrary response

- basic method is reproduced with Gaussian response

— roundtable

- study of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma + \text{higgs}$ (Y. Aoki)

progress: MC uncertainty for right-handed case

next step: writing paper

Q: uncertainty important?

A: not for $H \rightarrow bb$ channel; large effect for $H \rightarrow WW^*$; maybe fine in combined upper limit

Q: what is nominal / conservative?

A: nominal case is for observed # of events directly from available MC sample;
conservative case is re-estimated # of events based efficiencies of each cut

- A_LR measurement using radiative return process (T. Mizuno)

progress: MC truth is now correct; the earlier problem was because signal photon is included in the jets

next step: remove further double counting among two jets; wrap up jet energy scale calibration study

- tau polarization measurement in $e+e- \rightarrow$ di-tau process (K. Yumino)

progress: results with cone method & cone method + mid-point method;
acceptance as function of polarimeter;

next steps: re-evaluate acceptance using proper definition, histograms should be for MC truth information, using events before and after selection

Q: how acceptance is calculated?

A: reconstructed divided by MC truth histogram

Q: x-axis is for MC pi polarimeter?

C: should always use MC histogram before & after selection

- study on right handed neutrino at ILC (J. Nakajima)

progress: signal events generation using narrow-width approximation instead of 6-fermion production

next step: move to full simulation

— Next week's focused talk: Kyushin U.