# Search for Higgs decaying to exotic scalers using kinematic fit

Yu Kato, Tomohiko Tanabe, Masakazu Kurata, Shogo Kajiwara, Takanori Mogi, Satoru Yamashita The University of Tokyo, Iwate Prefectural University, KEK, ICEPP The 72<sup>nd</sup> General Meeting of ILC Physics Subgroup July 14, 2021

# Search for Higgs $\rightarrow$ scalar mediator

- Motivation:
  - Higgs can couple to WIMP DM through the scalar mediator  $\varphi$ .
  - The mediator appears as the Higgs exotic decay.
- Target channel:
  - \* e+e-  $\rightarrow$  ZH  $\rightarrow$  µµ $\phi\phi$   $\rightarrow$  µµbbbb
- Simulation setup:
  - Generator: WHIZARD 2.8.5
    - Assumption of φ mass: 15, 30, 45, 60 [GeV]
  - ILC parameter:
    - $\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ , polarization { (-0.8,+0.3), (+0.8,-0.3) }
  - Detector: ILD latest setting (mc-2020)
- Status:
  - ✓ Sample preparation
    - Generate sample with the MSSM\_CKM model
    - Simulate with DDSim, Reconstruct with MarlinStdReco, the same as the mc-2020 setting
  - ✓ Fast analysis
    - IsolatedLeptonTagging, JetClustering (4-jet) and Flavor tagging
    - I use only the main background process of  $\mu\mu$ H.
  - ➤ Test fitting
  - Detailed analysis

July 14, 2021

Yu Kato, 72nd ILC General Meeting

• How the WIMP can be detected at ILC?



S. Matsumoto(Kavli IPMU), ILC summer camp 2020



### Fast Analysis of hqq: b-probability



July 14, 2021

Yu Kato, 72nd ILC General Meeting

## b-tag cut





Max Significance = 0.0100021 when bprob[0][0]\*bprob[0][1]\*bprob[1][0]\*bprob[1][1] = 0.147 eff=0.411827, pur=0.259309

### Higgs decay mode in remaining µµH process



July 14, 2021

# Fast Analysis of hqq

• Signal: 20,000 events / pol.

• e2e2h: 500,000 events / pol.



- Number of isolated lepton = 2, and tagged as muon pair
- Sum of 4 jet b-probability > 3
- The recoil mass is included in (120 GeV, 160 GeV).
- Including all the 2f, 4f and SM higgs backgrounds
- Remaining background is mainly μμH and a few qqH, ττH. July 14, 2021 Yu Kato, 72nd ILC General Meeting

### Comparison of $\phi$ mass



mφ		UL-left	UL-right	UL-comb
	15	0.139%	0.163%	0.106%
	30	0.146%	0.177%	0.113%
	45	0.152%	0.183%	0.117%
	60	0.140%	0.170%	0.108%

July 14, 2021

# **Test kinematic fitting**

• Signal: 20,000 events / pol.

• e2e2h: 500,000 events / pol.



- Kinematic fitting are performed and get some improvement.
  - Fit Object
    - 2 MuonFitObject
    - 4 JetFitObject
    - 1 ISRPhotonFitObject
    - Jet resolution: b-jet pair

July 14, 2021

Yu Kato, 72nd ILC General Meeting

• Constraint

Total Energy/Px/Py/Pz for all FOs

• Higgs mass = 125 GeV for bb

Same mass of φs

# backup

July 14, 2021



Processing drawHist.C("(mphi[0][0]+mphi[1][0])/2",0,100,20,-1,15)... Draw (mphi[0][0]+mphi[1][0])/2 Cut: ((Sum (bprob) > 3)) & (flv [0] = 13 & flv [1] = -13)) & (mrec > 120 & mrec < 160)Warning in <TCanvas::Constructor>: Deleting canvas with same name: c1 output all/egmass/hphiphi m15 lr.root: nGen = 20000, xsec = 16.9736, eff = 0.32025 output all/egmass/hphiphi m15 rl.root: nGen = 20000, xsec = 10.8664, eff = 0.32225 output all/egmass/e2e2h lr.root: nGen = 500000, xsec = 16.9707, eff = 0.0012 output\_all/eqmass/e2e2h\_rl.root: nGen = 500000, xsec = 10.8691, eff = 0.001152 [Entries] hS: 12850 hB: 1176 [Integral] hS: 2.97087 hB: 11.1165 nbin = 20x = 2.5, nS = 0, nB = 0, nS/nB = -nanx = 7.5, nS = 0, nB = 0, nS/nB = -nanx = 12.5, nS = 0.220476, nB = 0.0206091, nS/nB = 10.698 x = 17.5, nS = 0.905668, nB = 0.217865, nS/nB = 4.15702 x = 22.5, nS = 0.515337, nB = 0.698922, nS/nB = 0.737332 x = 27.5, nS = 0.252194, nB = 0.906449, nS/nB = 0.278221 x = 32.5, nS = 0.134799, nB = 1.27755, nS/nB = 0.105514 x = 37.5, nS = 0.084818, nB = 1.58264, nS/nB = 0.0535927 x = 42.5, nS = 0.0626495, nB = 1.68507, nS/nB = 0.0371792 x = 47.5, nS = 0.0713428, nB = 1.70979, nS/nB = 0.0417262 x = 52.5, nS = 0.124544, nB = 1.29473, nS/nB = 0.0961931 x = 57.5, nS = 0.186542, nB = 0.850784, nS/nB = 0.219258 x = 62.5, nS = 0.200734, nB = 0.45011, nS/nB = 0.445966 x = 67.5, nS = 0.117037, nB = 0.220604, nS/nB = 0.530531 x = 72.5, nS = 0.0562418, nB = 0.10996, nS/nB = 0.511476 x = 77.5, nS = 0.0193298, nB = 0.0549798, nS/nB = 0.351579 x = 82.5, nS = 0.0102923, nB = 0.0185549, nS/nB = 0.554698 x = 87.5, nS = 0.00608261, nB = 0.0178701, nS/nB = 0.340379 x = 92.5, nS = 0.00231972, nB = 0, nS/nB = inf x = 97.5, nS = 0.000463944, nB = 0, nS/nB = inf

Significance = 1.18599, UL = 0.00139124





Processing drawHist.C("(mphi[0][0]+mphi[1][0])/2",0,100,20,-1,45)... Draw (mphi[0][0]+mphi[1][0])/2 Cut: ((Sum\$(bprob) > 3.)&&(flvl[0]==13&&flvl[1]==-13))&&(mrec>120&&mrec<160) Warning in <TCanvas::Constructor>: Deleting canvas with same name: c1 output all/egmass/hphiphi m45 lr.root: nGen = 20000, xsec = 16.9785, eff = 0.3947 output all/eqmass/hphiphi m45 rl.root: nGen = 20000, xsec = 10.8664, eff = 0.3971 output all/egmass/e2e2h lr.root: nGen = 500000, xsec = 16.9707, eff = 0.0012 output\_all/eqmass/e2e2h\_rl.root: nGen = 500000, xsec = 10.8691, eff = 0.001152 [Entries] hS: 15836 hB: 1176 [Integral] hS: 3.66375 hB: 11.1165 nbin = 20x = 2.5, nS = 0, nB = 0, nS/nB = -nanx = 7.5, nS = 0, nB = 0, nS/nB = -nanx = 12.5. nS = 0. nB = 0.0206091. nS/nB = 0 x = 17.5, nS = 0.00044696, nB = 0.217865, nS/nB = 0.00205155 x = 22.5, nS = 0.0023546, nB = 0.698922, nS/nB = 0.00336891 x = 27.5, nS = 0.0279834, nB = 0.906449, nS/nB = 0.0308714 x = 32.5, nS = 0.0703149, nB = 1.27755, nS/nB = 0.055039 x = 37.5, nS = 0.333217, nB = 1.58264, nS/nB = 0.210545 x = 42.5, nS = 1.09561, nB = 1.68507, nS/nB = 0.650189 x = 47.5, nS = 1.0593, nB = 1.70979, nS/nB = 0.619549 x = 52.5, nS = 0.491193, nB = 1.29473, nS/nB = 0.379378 x = 57.5, nS = 0.275883, nB = 0.850784, nS/nB = 0.324269 x = 62.5, nS = 0.154952, nB = 0.45011, nS/nB = 0.344253 x = 67.5, nS = 0.0823315, nB = 0.220604, nS/nB = 0.373209 x = 72.5, nS = 0.0355626, nB = 0.10996, nS/nB = 0.323415 x = 77.5, nS = 0.0194398, nB = 0.0549798, nS/nB = 0.353581 x = 82.5, nS = 0.00962577, nB = 0.0185549, nS/nB = 0.518774 x = 87.5, nS = 0.00360991, nB = 0.0178701, nS/nB = 0.202008 x = 92.5, nS = 0.000996608, nB = 0, nS/nB = inf x = 97.5, nS = 0.00092815, nB = 0, nS/nB = inf

Significance = 1.08797, UL = 0.00151659

July 14, 2021



Processing drawHist.C("(mphi[0][0]+mphi[1][0])/2",0,100,20,-1,30)... Draw (mphi[0][0]+mphi[1][0])/2 Cut: ((Sum (bprob) > 3)) & (flv [0] = 13 & flv [1] = -13)) & (mrec > 120 & mrec < 160)Warning in <TCanvas::Constructor>: Deleting canvas with same name: c1 output all/egmass/hphiphi m60 lr.root: nGen = 20000, xsec = 16.9953, eff = 0.4134 output all/egmass/hphiphi m60 rl.root: nGen = 20000, xsec = 10.8563, eff = 0.4155 output all/egmass/e2e2h lr.root: nGen = 500000, xsec = 16.9707, eff = 0.0012 output\_all/eqmass/e2e2h\_rl.root: nGen = 500000, xsec = 10.8691, eff = 0.001152 [Entries] hS: 16578 hB: 1176 [Integral] hS: 3.84121 hB: 11.1165 nbin = 20x = 2.5, nS = 0, nB = 0, nS/nB = -nanx = 7.5, nS = 0, nB = 0, nS/nB = -nanx = 12.5, nS = 0, nB = 0.0206091, nS/nB = 0 x = 17.5, nS = 0.0013935, nB = 0.217865, nS/nB = 0.00639618 x = 22.5, nS = 0.0265449, nB = 0.698922, nS/nB = 0.0379799 x = 27.5, nS = 0.0931054, nB = 0.906449, nS/nB = 0.102714 x = 32.5, nS = 0.190081, nB = 1.27755, nS/nB = 0.148786 x = 37.5, nS = 0.289521, nB = 1.58264, nS/nB = 0.182935 x = 42.5, nS = 0.362499, nB = 1.68507, nS/nB = 0.215124 x = 47.5, nS = 0.440897, nB = 1.70979, nS/nB = 0.257867 x = 52.5, nS = 0.616327, nB = 1.29473, nS/nB = 0.476027 x = 57.5, nS = 0.873293, nB = 0.850784, nS/nB = 1.02646 x = 62.5, nS = 0.636543, nB = 0.45011, nS/nB = 1.41419 x = 67.5, nS = 0.205808, nB = 0.220604, nS/nB = 0.93293 x = 72.5, nS = 0.0643234, nB = 0.10996, nS/nB = 0.584973 x = 77.5, nS = 0.0263911, nB = 0.0549798, nS/nB = 0.480014 x = 82.5, nS = 0.00977162, nB = 0.0185549, nS/nB = 0.526634 x = 87.5, nS = 0.00416341, nB = 0.0178701, nS/nB = 0.232982 x = 92.5, nS = 0.000549994, nB = 0, nS/nB = inf x = 97.5, nS = 0, nB = 0, nS/nB = -nanSignificance = 1.17641, UL = 0.00140257

#### arxiv.org/1612.09284



95% C.L. upper limit on selected Higgs Exotic Decay BR

Fig. 12. The 95% C.L. upper limit on selected Higgs exotic decay branching fractions at HL-LHC, CEPC, ILC and FCC-ee. The benchmark parameter choices are the same as in Table 3. We put several vertical lines in this figure to divide different types of Higgs exotic decays.

July 14, 2021

# **Introduction: Kinematic fit**

- Kinematic fit:
  - one of the constrained optimization method
  - adjustment of measured kinematic parameters under certain constraints
    - distributions of parameters e.g. energy resolution
    - kinematic relations among the parameters e.g. energy conservation
- Purposes:
  - improve accuracy of measurements (reconstruction)
  - estimate how well a given event matches a signal model (event selection)
- $\circ$  Standard procedure: minimize  $\chi^2$

$$\chi^2(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\eta})^T \boldsymbol{V}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\eta}) - 2\boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$$

- y: measured variables  $\eta$ : fit parameters
- *V*: covariance matrix

- $\xi$ : unmeasured parameters
- $\lambda$  : Lagrange multipliers
- h : constraint functions



July 14, 2021

### Our approach for non-Gaussian distributions

- The basic method assumes that the measured parameters would have Gaussian error against the true value.
- In order to treat arbitrary error distributions, the chi-square term is re-defined as the log-likelihood function;

$$\begin{split} \chi^{2}(\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= -2\mathrm{ln}L_{fo}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) - 2\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{T}\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\xi}) - 2\mathrm{ln}L_{sc}(\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ L_{fo}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(y_{i};\eta_{i}) \qquad L_{sc}(\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}(\boldsymbol{\eta},\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ f_{i}: \text{ error distributions} \qquad s_{i}: \text{ soft constraint distributions} \end{split}$$

Note:

- The error distributions are normalized as the peak position returns 1.
- The soft constraint term is applied optionally.
- In the case of Gaussian distributions, the basic method is reproduced.

### **Notes on implementation**

#### **Requirements**

- Numerical differentiation
  - Although the Gaussian case can be solved analytically, the arbitrary case needs numerical calculation.
- Resolution information
  - It is necessary to prepare the error distribution functions for each measured parameters.

#### Fitter algorithm

- Based on Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP) method
- Hessian matrix is approximated by damped-BFGS method. (quasi-Newton method)
- The size of the iteration step ( $\alpha$ ) is adjusted by Armijo condition.

# **B-jet energy resolution**

- The b-jet has asymmetric energy distribution due to neutrinos from semi-leptonic decay.
- We need to know the true energy distribution when a particular measured energy is obtained.
- The definition of the true jet:

Sum of the MCParticles which direction is close to reconstructed jet

- Including neutrinos
- The resolutions are evaluated as the function of  $(E_{rec}, \cos\theta_{rec})$  for each jet.

# **B-jet energy resolution: Evaluation setup**

- Sample: b-jet pair
  - ILD DBD full simulation
  - E<sub>cm</sub>: 20 240 GeV
  - PandoraPFA -> Durham jet clustering (LCFIPlus)
- Workflow:
  - 1. prepare data set of ( $E_{mc}$ ,  $E_{rec}$ ) in specific  $cos\theta_{rec}$  window
  - 2. generate  $E_{mc}$  histogram in specific  $E_{rec}$  window
    - normalized by all E<sub>rec</sub> histogram
    - Each  $E_{mc}$  entry is shifted according as  $E_{rec}$  value.
  - 3. fit the spectrum
- Fit function: Crystal Ball (Gaussian & quartic polynomial)
  - p1: Gaussian mean
  - p2: Gaussian sigma
  - p3: Connection boundary in sigma unit



↑ True jet energy distribution for  $E_{rec}$  = 45.5 ± 2.5 GeV, cosθ<sub>rec</sub> = [0.,0.05)

## **B-jet energy resolution: Energy dependence**

- Energy scan in the barrel region
  - $\cos\theta_{\rm rec} = [0., 0.05)$
- In the higher edge the spectrum varies due to the lack of statistics.

p2/√E<sub>mea</sub>

0.45

0.4

0.35

20

Parameters between points are interpolated.

pl-E<sub>rec</sub>



20

40

60

80

100

120

Щ<sup>ее</sup> 1.4

0.8

0.6

0.4

Yu Kato, 72nd ILC General Meeting

100

### **B-jet energy resolution: Angle dependence**

cosθ 0.000-0.600-0.6 0.750-• Angle scan at  $E_{rec} = 45.5 \text{ GeV}$ 0.900-0.5 0.925-0.950-• JER is worse for forward jet as expected. 0.4 0.975-0.3 0.2 0.1 20 60 80 100 40 120 140 E<sub>mc</sub> sa 2.2 للم ۳-۲۵ БЗ p2/√E<sub>meas</sub> 0.66 +p2/√E<sub>rec</sub> pl-E<sub>rec</sub> рЗ +0.64 0.45 0.62 1.6 0.6 1.4 0.58 0.4  $\pm$ 1.2 ++++0.56 0.54 0.35 0.8 0.52 0.6 0.5 0.3 0.4 0.48 1 Icosθ<sub>meas</sub>I 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 Icosθ<sub>meas</sub>I 0.2 0.8 1 Icosθ<sub>meas</sub>I 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.4 0.6

July 14, 2021

### **ISR** spectrum

M. Beckmann, "Treatment of Photon Radiation in Kinematic Fits at Future e+e– Colliders" F.A. Berends and R. Kleiss, Nucl. Phys. B177 (1981) 237

• ISR: 
$$\mathcal{P}(p_{\mathrm{z},\gamma}) = \frac{\beta}{2E_{\mathrm{max}}} \cdot \left| \frac{p_{\mathrm{z},\gamma}}{E_{\mathrm{max}}} \right|^{\beta-1} \quad \beta = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \left( \ln \frac{s}{m_{\mathrm{e}}^2} - 1 \right)$$

• beamstrahlung: ?



July 14, 2021