



September 9, 2021

π⁰ & K⁰ Reconstruction in the SiD MAPS Digital ECal

Jim Brau University of Oregon

π^0 s in the SiD ECal at 90 degrees • S_i D



$40 \text{ GeV } \pi^0 \rightarrow 20 \text{ GeV } \gamma + 20 \text{ GeV } \gamma \circ S_i | D \circ$



SiD MAPS Digital ECal

SiD TDR ECal

SiD TDR ECal

 $K^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$



 While this is a quite rare process, it sets a scale for where we would like to have sensitivity.

Energy distributions



Two_Showers/lorentz-Higgs-Ko

Y,Z of γ s

7

Distance between γ s

9 September 2021 1 I. Brau SiD MAPS Digital ECal

Distance between γ s

9

π^0 reconstruction

10

80 200 Pair-1(MeV)

Failed y pairs

Selected two shower mass vs. low momentum TwoShowerMassMom0 200 Gamma selection: Pair-mass(MeV) Entries 2000 4.308 Mean x * Min $(m1_{\gamma\gamma}-135 \text{ MeV})^2$ Mean y 135.2 180 $+ (m2_{\gamma\gamma}-135 \text{ MeV})^2$ Std Dev x 2.736 Std Dev y 8.452 $* < 10,000 / E_{K0} (GeV)$ 160 14(Best two shower masses-failed TwoShowerMassFailed0 120 165 Entries 135.8 Mean lean y 136.3 Std Dev x 14.47 Std Dev y 14.11 100 80 2 3 5 8 10 6 9 Low gam momentum(GeV) 180 2 Pair-1(MeV 120 Two_Showers/lorentz-Higgs-K0 temp

200

180

160

140

120

100

80

Pair-2(MeV)

*

K⁰ reconstruction

Conclusions

 SiD MAPS Digital ECal provides excellent reconstruction at 250 GeV of e⁺e⁻ -> Z⁰ Higgs _---> K⁰ K⁰bar _---> π⁰ π⁰

84% K^o efficiency with mass resolution of 12 MeV or 2.3%

- No treatment of inner detector material interactions,
- and separations all done at 90 degrees.
- To come geant4 simulation of shower reconstruction, and full event treatment.
- Note this is a simplified analysis in many ways, but shows potential for measurement.