Study of photon-associated Higgs production at the ILC

Yumi Aoki(SOKENDAI), Junping Tian(Tokyo Univ.)
, Keisuke Fujii(KEK),
Sunghoon Jung(Seoul National Univ.),
Junghwan Lee(Seoul National Univ.)

2021.10.20(Wed) @Software&Analysis mtg

1.Motivation

Find new physics via hγγ and hγZ couplings

hγZ coupling in the Standard Model (SM) is a loop induced coupling.
→We expect BSM amplitude can be larger than SM amplitude.

We are the first to study the hγZ coupling bond using $e^+e^- \to h\gamma$



Any deviation of the coupling constants from SM signals new physics.

In this talk, we will report the simulation results of cross-section at ILC and the constraints such as model independent and hγz coupling constant.

2. Theoretical framework for our analysis

SM one-loop predictions

※For unpolarized beam



SM cross sections by one loop calculation: $\sqrt{s}$ = 250 GeV

e^- e^+

σ^L_{SM}=0.35 fb for (-100%,+100%)

σ^R_{SM}=0.016 fb for (+100%,-100%)

σ_{SM}=**0.20 fb** for (-80%,+30%)

This analysis is very challenging.

3.Experimental Method

The effective field theory (EFT) Lagrangian (model-independent)

effective hγZ coupling effective hγγ coupling

$$L_{\gamma H} = L_{\mathrm{SM}} + \frac{\zeta_{AZ}}{v} A_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} H + \frac{\zeta_A}{2v} A_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} H$$

$A_{\mu\nu}$, $Z_{\mu\nu}$: field strength tensors v: vacuum expectation value

Phys.Rev. D94 (2016) 095015

cross section of e+e- —> γH

$$\frac{\sigma_{\gamma H}}{\sigma_{SM}} = 1 - 273\zeta_A - 201\zeta_{AZ} \quad \text{(eLpR)}$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{\gamma H}}{\sigma_{SM}} = 1 + 492\zeta_A - 311\zeta_{AZ} \quad \text{(eRpL)}$$

Since ζ_A is already constrained by measurement of h→ γγ branching ratio at LHC, we can extract ζ_{AZ} parameter by just measuring cross section for a single beam polarization.

4. Simulation framework



- $\sqrt{s}$=250 GeV
  Integrated Luminosity: 2000 fb^{-1}
  (900 fb^{-1} each for Left / Right handed pol.)
- background : 2f,4f (DBD sample)
- ISR and Beamstrahlung effects are included
- ILD full simulation (Mokka)
- Geant4 based, realistic detected detector model
- Full reconstruction chain from detector signals to 4-vectors
  (iLCSoft v01-16-02/ MarlinReco, PandoraPFA, LCFI+, Isolated photon finder, jet clustering)

h→bb | h→WW* fully hadronic | h→WW* semi leptonic

5. Pre-Event selection



Isolated photon

- Photon ID
- $E_\gamma > 50$ GeV

※The split photon clusters within a small cone are recovered

- ($\cos\theta_{cone}=0.998$)

Remaining particles other than photon

- Jet clustering (Durham)
- Flavor tagged（LCFI+)

For h→WW* semi-leptonic : number of decay w to qq=1

For h→WW* fully-hadronic : number of decay w to qq=2

5. Event selection

Signal		Backgrounds
$e^+e^- \to \gamma h \to \gamma(b\bar{b})$		
2 b jets	b likeliness1>0.77	$e^+e^- \to \gamma Z \to \gamma qq$
	missing energy<35 GeV	Background with ν
m(bb)= Higgs mass	The Higgs hypothesis mass	$e^+e^- \to \gamma Z \to \gamma qq$
Isolated photon with energy 93 GeV	Energy of photon	
	angle between 2jets	
	angle between a jet and photon	

The Higgs hypothesis mass, Energy of photon, angle between 2jets, angle between a jet and photon → Input to TMVA

5. Signal Significance

$$significance \quad \frac{N_s}{\sqrt{N_s + N_B}}$$

N_S: # of signal

N_B: # of bg

Left	2f	4f	total bg	Signal	Significance
Expected	1.0×10^8	3.7×10^7	1.4×10^8	1.1×10^2	9.0×10^{-3}
Pre selection	2.8×10^7	1.6×10^6	2.9×10^7	9.9×10^1	1.8×10^{-2}
b likeliness>0.77	2.2×10^6	2.1×10^4	2.2×10^6	9.0×10^1	6.0×10^{-2}
Emis<35	1.9×10^6	1.6×10^4	1.9×10^6	8.2×10^1	5.9×10^{-2}
mvabdt >0.025	1.9×10^4	3.2×10^2	2.0×10^4	3.4×10^1	2.4×10^{-1}
$-0.92< cos\theta_\gamma <0.92$	1.2×10^4	1.3×10^2	1.2×10^4	2.9×10^1	2.6×10^{-1}

Right	2f	4f	total bg	Signal	Significance
Expected	7.3×10^7	4.6×10^6	7.8×10^7	1.1×10^1	1.3×10^{-3}
Pre selection	2.3×10^7	4.7×10^5	2.3×10^7	1.0×10^1	2.1×10^{-3}
b likeliness>0.77	1.4×10^6	9.3×10^3	1.5×10^6	9.4×10^0	7.8×10^{-3}
Emis<35	1.3×10^6	7.7×10^3	1.3×10^6	8.4×10^0	7.5×10^{-3}
mvabdt > 0.025	1.0×10^4	2.1×10^2	1.0×10^4	3.4×10^0	3.4×10^{-2}
$-0.92 < cos\theta_\gamma <0.92$	5.9×10^3	5.7×10^1	5.9×10^3	3.0×10^0	3.9×10^{-2}

5. Event selection

Signal

W1 W2

$$e^+e^- \to \gamma h \to \gamma(WW^*) \to \gamma(2j)(l\nu)$$

Backgrounds

# of charged particle in jets >3 — $e^+e^- \to 2l$

W1 or W2 is on-shell: $|m_{w1}-80.4|<10$ GeV or $|m_{w2}-80.4|<9.4$ GeV

No b-quark jets: b likeliness<0.77

Momenta of 2jets+l+v ~Higgs hypothesis, Isolated photon with energy 93 GeV:

- The Higgs invariant mass
- Energy of photon
- Mass of γqq
- Missing Energy

→ Input to TMVA

5. Signal Significance

Left	2f	4f	total bg	Signal	Significance
Expected	1.0×10^{8}	3.7×10^{7}	1.4×10^{8}	1.8×10^{1}	3.4×10^{-3}
Pre selection	1.3×10^{7}	7.5×10^{5}	1.3×10^{7}	1.0×10^{1}	3.6×10^{-3}
of charged particle>3	7.8×10^{4}	2.3×10^{5}	3.1×105	5.4	9.8×10^{-3}
$\lvert m_{W1}-80.4\rvert < 10\ GeV$ $\lvert m_{W2}-80.4\rvert < 9.4\ GeV$	2.5×10^{4}	1.6×10^{5}	1.9×10^{5}	3.7	8.6×10^{-3}
b likeliness<0.77	1.7×10^{4}	1.6×10^{5}	1.8×10^{5}	3.7	8.7×10^{-3}
mvabdt > 0.1	3.1	3.8×10^{1}	4.1×10^{1}	1.0	1.6×10^{-1}
-0.93< $cos\theta_\gamma$ <0.93	0.0	8.4	8.4	9.5×10^{-1}	3.1×10^{-1}

Right	2f	4f	total bg	Signal	Significance
Expected	7.3×10^{7}	4.6×10^{6}	7.8×10^{7}	1.9	4.8×10^{-4}
Pre selection	1.2×10^{7}	3.1×10^{5}	1.2×10^{7}	2.0	3.9×10^{-4}
of charged particle > 3	5.0×10^{4}	3.6×10^{4}	8.6×10^{4}	1.5×10^{0}	1.9×10^{-3}
$\lvert m_{W1}-80.4\rvert < 10\ GeV$ $\lvert m_{W2}-80.4\rvert < 9.4\ GeV$	1.7×10^{4}	1.5×10^{4}	3.2×10^{4}	3.8×10^{-1}	2.1×10^{-3}
b likeliness<0.77	1.2×10^{4}	1.4×10^{4}	2.6×10^{5}	3.7×10^{-1}	2.3×10^{-3}
mvabdt > 0.1	5.3×10^{1}	2.1×10^{1}	7.4×10^{1}	1.0×10^{-1}	1.2×10^{-2}
-0.93< $cos\theta_\gamma$ < 0.93	0.0	4.7	4.7	9.3×10^{-2}	4.2×10^{-2}

6. cosθγ Distribution

We assume no difference between SM and BSM $\cos\theta_\gamma$ distribution
→Is this assumption reasonable?

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{d\sigma_{SM}}{d\cos\theta} + \zeta_A \frac{d\sigma_{BSM}}{d\cos\theta}(\zeta_A = 1, \zeta_{AZ} = 0) + \zeta_{AZ} \frac{d\sigma_{BSM}}{d\cos\theta}(\zeta_A = 0, \zeta_{AZ} = 1)$$





$$\text{Efficiency} = \frac{\#\text{ of signal after cut}}{\#\text{ of signal before cut}}$$

There are no $\cos\theta$ dependence

7. Combined result - Each polarization

95% C.L upper limit

$$\sigma_{h\gamma} = \sigma_{SM} + \frac{1.64}{\text{significance}}\sigma_{SM}$$

(Pe, Pp)=(-80%, +30%)

H→bb	Significance = 0.26 for SM
H→WW (Semi-leptonic)	Significance = 0.31 for SM
Combined	Significance = 0.40 for SM

$\frac{\sigma_{h\gamma}^{L}}{\sigma_{SM}^{L}} < 5.1$ $\sigma_{h\gamma}^{L} < 1.0$ fb (95% C.L upper limit)

(+80%, -30%)

H→bb	Significance = 0.039 for SM
H→WW (Semi-leptonic)	Significance = 0.042 for SM
Combined	Significance = 0.06 for SM

$\frac{\sigma_{h\gamma}^{R}}{\sigma_{SM}^{R}} < 28.3$ $\sigma_{h\gamma}^{R} < 0.6$ fb (95% C.L upper limit)

7. Combined result - Each polarization

95% C.L upper limit

$$\sigma_{h\gamma} = \sigma_{SM} + \frac{1.64}{\text{significance}}\sigma_{SM}$$

(Pe, Pp)=(-100%, +100%)

Combined

Significance = 0.41 for SM

σ^L_{SM}=0.35 fb for (-100%,+100%)

$\frac{\sigma_{h\gamma}^L}{\sigma_{SM}^L} < 5.0$ $\sigma_{h\gamma}^L < 1.8$ fb (95% C.L upper limit)

(Pe, Pp)=(+100%, -100%)

Combined

Significance = 0.027 for SM

σ^R_{SM}=0.016 fb for (+100%,-100%)

$\frac{\sigma_{h\gamma}^R}{\sigma_{SM}^R} < 61.7$ $\sigma_{h\gamma}^R < 0.99$ fb (95% C.L upper limit)

8. Constraints from sigma

σ limit from experiment

$\sigma_{h\gamma}^{L} < 1.8$ fb

$\sigma_{h\gamma}^{R} < 0.99$ fb

Constraint on ζ_{AZ} coupling

Model Independent

Constraint on concrete models

Under construction

Inert Triplet Model

Constraint on electron Yukawa coupling

8. Constraint on ζ_{AZ} coupling

$$L_{\gamma H} = L_{\rm SM} + \frac{\zeta_{AZ}}{v} A_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} H + \frac{\zeta_A}{2v} A_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} H$$

Left handed Combined Significance = 0.41 for SM

5.0 > $\frac{\sigma_{\gamma H}}{\sigma_{SM}} = 1 - 273\zeta_A - 201\zeta_{AZ}$ >0 asume ζ_A =0

-0.020< ζ_{AZ} <0.005

Right handed Combined Significance = 0.027 for SM

61.7 > $\frac{\sigma_{\gamma H}}{\sigma_{SM}} = 1 + 492\zeta_A - 311\zeta_{AZ}$ >0 asume ζ_A =0

-0.195< ζ_{AZ} <0.0032

8. Comparison with LHC

$$L_{\gamma H} = L_{\rm SM} + \frac{\zeta_{AZ}}{v} A_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} H + \frac{\zeta_A}{2v} A_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} H$$



Right handed limit

61.7 > $1 + 492\zeta_A - 311\zeta_{AZ}$ >0

HL-LHC limit (h→γγ)

-0.04< $\delta\Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma) = 526\zeta_A$ <0.04

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-054

https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.09079

Left handed limit

5.0 > $1 - 273\zeta_A - 201\zeta_{AZ}$ >0

LHC limit (h→γZ)

0 < 1+ $\delta\Gamma(h \to Z\gamma) = 290\zeta_{AZ}$ < 4.1

CMS-PAS-HIG-19-014

8. Constraint on concrete models

$$\frac{\sigma_{h\gamma}{}^{L}}{\sigma_{SM}{}^{L}} < 5.0$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{h\gamma}{}^{R}}{\sigma_{SM}{}^{R}} < 61.7$$

(95% C.L upper limit)

$$\Delta R(e^+e^- \to h\gamma) = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to h\gamma)}{\sigma_{SM}(e^+e^- \to h\gamma)} - 1$$

Inert Triplet Model



Kanemura, et al, arXiv:1808.10268

This is the unpolarization plot→



Kanemura, et al, arXiv:1808.10268

I asked theorist to provide new version of HCOUP, which can calculate cross-section to prepare similar plot for each polarization.

8. Constraint on electron Yukawa coupling

The the differential cross section is calculated as :



$$\frac{d\sigma_{LL}}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{d\sigma_{RR}}{d\cos\theta} =$$

$$\frac{1}{2s\beta_e} 2e^2 \left(\frac{m_e}{v}\right)^2 D_t D_u s^2 \left[\left(1 - \frac{m_h^2}{s}\right)^2 tuD_tD_u + 2\left(\frac{m_h^2}{s}\right)\right] \frac{\bar{\beta}}{16\pi}$$

$$\frac{1}{q^2 - m_e^2} = D_t, \frac{1}{r^2 - m_e^2} = D_u$$





The cross-section is small in the small |cosθ| region.
→It is very difficult to capture the photon and h in this region because it is the pipeline of the detector.

8. cosθ Integration

95% C.L upper limit

(e-,e+)= (-80,+30) , (-80,+30)

$$\sigma_{\gamma H} = \sigma_{SM} + \frac{1.64}{\text{significance}} \sigma_{SM}$$

$\sigma_{h\gamma}^{L}$ < 1.0 fb **$\sigma_{h\gamma}^{R}$ < 0.6 fb**

|cosθ| < 0.92





$\sigma_{h\gamma}^{L}$ (Electron-Yukawa) = 3.6×10^{-7} [fb]

$y_e/y_{e(SM)} < 1.6\times10^{3}$

$\sigma_{h\gamma}^{R}$ (Electron-Yukawa) = 5.9×10^{-7} [fb]

$y_e/y_{e(SM)} < 1.0\times10^{3}$

9. Summary

We have performed a full simulation study of $e^+e^- \to h\gamma$ at 250 GeV ILC, using ILD detector and full 1-loop SM amplitudes.

Upper limit of $e^+e^- \to \gamma h$ at $\sqrt{s}$=250 GeV, 900 fb^{-1}

(Left handed) **$\sigma_{h\gamma}^L < 1.0$ fb (95% C.L upper limit)**

(Right handed) **$\sigma_{h\gamma}^R < 0.6$ fb (95% C.L upper limit)**

Constraint on ζ_{AZ} coupling

(Left handed) $-0.020 < \zeta_{AZ} < 0.005$

(Right handed) $-0.195 < \zeta_{AZ} < 0.0032$

Constraint on electron-Yukawa coupling

$\sigma_{h\gamma}^L$ (Electron-Yukawa) = 3.6×10^{-7} [fb] $\sigma_{h\gamma}^R$ (Electron-Yukawa) = 5.9×10^{-7} [fb]

Future work

Constraint on concrete models (ITM)