Status of NLO top threshold studies K.Nowak, A.F.Żarnecki





Outline



- 2 Whizard simulation
- 3 Cross section results
- 4 Kinematic distributions



Motivation



Recent study: K.Nowak, A.F.Żarnecki

"Optimising top-quark threshold scan at CLIC using genetic algorithm"



Published in: JHEP 07 (2021) 070, arXiv:2103.00522

Top quark mass fit based on cross section measurements only, beam polarisation not included...

This is clearly not the optimal approach...



Top forward-backward asymmetry and top-quark momentum distribution are also sensitive to the top quark mass and could be included in the fit:



Adapted from: M. Martinez, R. Miquel, "Multiparameter fits to the t anti-t threshold observables at a future $e^+ e^-$ linear collider", Eur. Phys. J. C 27, 49–55 (2003).

Impact of beam polarisation is also crucial!



NLO QCD

NLO QCD corrections calculated in Whizard for arbitrary process also for top pair production in the continuum...

However, top threshold simulation is a special case:

- resummed threshold effects implemented as effective vertex (based on TOPPIK)
- dedicated matching from threshold NLL to continuum NLO

Six terms in the cross section calculation at the threshold:

- 3 NLO terms (born, real and virtual)
- 3 terms from "matched" NLL contribution (born, real and virtual)

NLO QCD

Fixed-order NLO events can also be produced in three different modes:

• Separate weighted

each of the six components integrated and generated separately

- Combined weighted two contributions calculated: full (born+real+virtual) matched NLL and full (born+real+virtual) NLO
- Combined unweighted

as above, but only possible when both contributions positive, POWHEG matching (NLO – PS) need to be used clearly preferred for event simulation and analysis not possible with ISR (matching component negative) ???

Results presented in the following based on Whizard $3.0.1\,$



Cross section results



Cross section for:

 e^+e^- ightarrow W^+b $W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation



Consistent results for separate and combined calculations of contributions

Cross section results



Cross section for:

 e^+e^- ightarrow W^+b $W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation



Consistent results for separate and combined calculations of contributions



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$



Cross section results



Cross section for:

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

with ISR and beam spectra, no polarisation





 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

Seem OK, but impact of ISR and beam spectra larger than expected





 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

Seem OK, but impact of ISR and beam spectra larger than expected





 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

Seem OK, but impact of ISR and beam spectra larger than expected



F.Simon, based on arXiv:1303.3758



Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =332 GeV





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =336 GeV





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =340 GeV





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =344 GeV





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =348 GeV





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =352 GeV





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =356 GeV





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =360 GeV





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-\bar{b}$





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^ \rightarrow$ W^+b $W^-ar{b}$





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$





Combined unweighted simulation (in two parts: matched + nlofull)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$





Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =332 GeV





Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =336 GeV





Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =340 GeV





Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation



A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)



Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-\bar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation



Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =348 GeV



Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation



A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)



Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$





Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

no ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =360 GeV





Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

with ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =332 GeV





Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

with ISR, no beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =352 GeV





Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

with ISR and beam spectra, no polarisation

Invariant mass distributions for \sqrt{s} =332 GeV





Separate weighted simulation (in six parts)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+b W^-ar{b}$

with ISR and beam spectra, no polarisation



A.F.Żarnecki (University of Warsaw)



Working on event generation for top threshold scan with NLO Whizard

- "Plain" Whizard seems to run OK,
- kinematic distribution also consistent with expectations...
- Impact of beam spectra on the cross section seems to be too large.
- There is clearly a problem in weighted event simulation: seems like virtual top quarks are forced on-shell, violating energy conservation...
- Whizard fails completly, when trying to define beam polarisation...

Experts contacted...