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## Problems/Mysteries in the Standard Model

- Origin of Neutrino Masses?
- Dark Matter?
- Origin of the Electroweak symmetry breaking?
- Cosmic Inflation before Big Bang?
- Origin of Matter-Antimatter asymmetry in the Universe?
- Strong CP problem
- More

Need to go beyond the SM for solving the problems!

## BSM candidate: gauged U(1) extended SMs

Gauge group: $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{c} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{L} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{Y} \times \mathrm{U}(1)$
The most popular scenario: the minimal B-L model

1. B-L (Baryon number minus Lepton number) is unique anomaly free global symmetry in the SM
2. Why not gauging the $U(1) B-L$ ?

We may follow the history:


Minimal Gauged B-L Extension of the SM Mohapatra \& Marshak; Wetterich; others

The model is based on $\quad \mathrm{SU}(3)_{c} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{L} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{Y} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$
Particle Contents

|  |  | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{c}$ | $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{L}$ | $\mathrm{U}(1)_{Y}$ | $\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i=1,2,3$ | $q_{L}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $+1 / 6$ | $+1 / 3$ |
|  | $u_{R}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $+2 / 3$ | $+1 / 3$ |
|  | $d_{R}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $-1 / 3$ | $+1 / 3$ |
|  | $\ell_{L}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $-1 / 2$ | -1 |
| New fermions: | $N_{R}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | -1 |
|  | $e_{R}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | -1 | -1 |
|  | $H$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $-1 / 2$ | 0 |
| New scalar: | $\Phi$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | +2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

More general U(1) extended SM Appelquist, Dobrescu \& Hopper, PRD 68 (1998) 035012

$$
\mathrm{SU}(3)_{C} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{L} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{Y} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{X}
$$

Particle Co
$i=1,2,3$

| $q_{L}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $1 / 6$ | $(1 / 6) x_{H}$ | $+1 / 3$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u_{R}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $2 / 3$ | $(2 / 3) x_{H}$ | $+1 / 3$ |
| $d_{R}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $-1 / 3$ | $(-1 / 3) x_{H}$ | $+1 / 3$ |
| $\ell_{L}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $-1 / 2$ | $(-1 / 2) x_{H}$ | -1 |
| $N_{R}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 |  | -1 |
| $e_{R}^{i}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | -1 | $(-1) x_{H}$ | -1 |
| $H$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $-1 / 2$ | $(-1 / 2) x_{H}$ | 0 |
| $\Phi$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 |  | +2 |

$>\mathrm{U}(1) \mathrm{x}$ charge: $Q_{X}=Y_{f}\left(x_{H}\right)+Q_{B-L}$
$>$ B-L limit: $\quad x_{H} \rightarrow 0$

## New Yukawa terms in Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text {Yukawa }} \supset-\sum_{i, j} Y_{D}^{i j} \overline{\ell_{L}^{i}} H N_{R}^{j}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} Y_{N}^{k} \Phi \overline{N_{R}^{k}} N_{R}^{k}+\text { h.c. }
$$

$\underline{\text { U(1) } x \text { symmetry breaking via }}\langle\Phi\rangle=\frac{v_{X}}{\sqrt{2}}$
$\underline{U(1) x \text { gauge boson ( } Z \text { ' boson) mass }}$

$$
m_{Z^{\prime}}=2 g_{X} v_{X} \quad \text { Mass scale is controlled }
$$ by U(1)x Sym. Br. scale

Heavy Majorana neutrino mass

$$
M_{N^{i}}=\frac{Y_{N}^{k}}{\sqrt{2}} v_{X}
$$

$\underline{U(1) x \text { sym breaking also }}$ generates RHN mass

Seesaw mechanism after EW sym. breaking

## $\underline{U(1)}$ Higgs sector could be the origin of EWSB

U(1) Higgs model and Coleman-Weinberg mechanism
Toy model:

| Field | Symbol | $\mathrm{U}(1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higgs Scalar | $\Phi$ | +2 |
| Weyl Fermion | $\Psi$ | -1 |

> * General picture. This can be a part of the B-L model

We impose Classical Conformal symmetry

$$
V_{\text {tree }}=\lambda_{\Phi}\left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right)^{2}
$$

* defining this theory as "Massless Theory"

Yukawa coupling is allowed:

$$
\mathscr{L}_{Y}=Y \Phi \Psi \Psi+\mathrm{h} . \mathrm{c} .
$$

## Coleman-Weinberg mechanism

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{C W} & =V_{\text {tree }}+V_{1-\text { loop }} \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{\Phi}}{4} \phi^{4}+\frac{\beta_{\Phi}}{8} \phi^{4}\left(\ln \left[\frac{\phi^{2}}{v_{\phi}^{2}}\right]-\frac{25}{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Phi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi+i \chi), \beta_{\Phi}=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(96 g^{4}-Y^{4}\right)$
$>$ Radiative $\mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetry breaking at $\phi=v_{\phi}$
>Parameter relations: $\lambda_{\Phi}=\frac{11}{6} \beta_{\Phi}$

$$
m_{\phi}^{2}=\lambda_{\Phi} v_{\phi}^{2}
$$

## Interesting properties:

> Origin of gauge symmetry breaking? quantum corrections (QM system knows where to be)
$>$ Predictability


Relation between Higgs mass and $U(1)$ gauge boson mass
$>$ Yukawa coupling must be sub-dominant,

$$
\beta_{\Phi}=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(96 g^{4}-Y^{4}\right)>0
$$

otherwise unstable vacuum

## Application to the Standard Model

Induced EW symmetry breaking

Classically conformal U(1) extended SM

$$
V=\lambda_{h}\left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^{2}-\lambda_{\text {mix }}\left(H^{\dagger} H\right)\left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right)+V_{C W}\left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right)
$$

Negative Higgs mass squared is induced by Phi VEV!

$$
m_{H}^{2}=-\lambda_{\text {mix }}|\langle\Phi\rangle|^{2}
$$

The origin of EWSB is the radiative $U(1)$ symmetry breaking!

Probing U(1) extended Standard Models at ILC

## Properties \& Phenomenology of U(1) extended SMs

New Particles:

- Z' boson
- Heavy Majorana neutrinos for the seesaw mechanism
- SM-singlet U(1) Higgs

Phenomenology:

- Z' boson production \& decay
- Z' boson mediated processes
- Heavy neutrino production
- U(1) Higgs boson phone


## I. Phenomenology involving Z' boson

U(1) $\times Z^{\prime}$ boson


L/R coupling
Branching raitos


For ILC studies, we need to consider the current LHC constraints whenever $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ couples to u \& d quarks

Das, Bhupal \& NO, PLB 799 (2019) 135052


Very severe constraints from the resonance search at LHC Run-2

$$
p p \rightarrow Z^{\prime} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-} / \mu^{+} \mu^{-}
$$

Interpretation to the upper bound on the $U(1)$ gauge coupling as a function of $\mathrm{Mz}^{\prime}$

ILC energy is expected to be

$$
\sqrt{S_{\mathrm{ILC}}} \ll M_{Z^{\prime}}
$$

## ILC studies for the processes involving Z' boson

Z' boson mediated processes with $\sqrt{S_{\mathrm{ILC}}} \ll M_{Z^{\prime}}$


$$
\left.M_{Z^{\prime}} \gtrsim 6 \mathrm{TeV} \rightarrow v_{X}^{\text {Min }} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(1 \mathrm{TeV})\right)
$$

The ILC is more powerful for heavier Z' boson!

## Sample ILC studies

(1) $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow f \bar{f}$

$$
e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}
$$


(2) $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z h$


* For detailed analysis, see Das \&NO, arXiv: 2008.04023


## (3) Heavy Majorana neutrino pair production at ILC



## Das, NO, Okada \& Raut <br> PLB 797 (2019) 134849

The production cross section can be sizable, while satisfying the LHC constrains

The ILC can be HMN factory!

Same-sign dilepton final states as
"'Smoking-gun" signature of Majorana nature

HMN can be long-lived

ILC to explore the Seesaw Mechanism

## II. Exploring EWSB origin at ILC

Conventional:

$$
V=\frac{\lambda_{h}}{4}\left(h^{2}-v_{h}^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{4}\left(\phi^{2}-v_{\phi}^{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{\lambda_{m i x}}{4}\left(h^{2}-v_{h}^{2}\right)\left(\phi^{2}-v_{\phi}^{2}\right)
$$

EW symmetry is broken w/o $\lambda_{\text {mix }}$

CW system:

$$
V=\frac{\lambda_{h}}{4} h^{4}+\frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{4} \phi^{4}+\frac{\beta_{\phi}}{8} \phi^{4}\left(\ln \left[\frac{\phi^{2}}{v_{\phi}^{2}}\right]-\frac{25}{6}\right)-\frac{\lambda_{m i x} h^{2} \phi^{2}}{4}
$$

The radiative $U(1)$ symmetry breaking and $\lambda_{\text {mix }}>0$ are crucial for the EW symmetry breaking

Potential analysis
Mass matrix: $M_{s q}=\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}\partial_{h}^{2} V & \partial_{h} \partial_{\phi} V \\ \partial_{\phi} \partial_{h} V & \partial_{\phi}^{2} V\end{array}\right)\right|_{h=v_{h}, \phi=v_{\phi}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}m_{h}^{2} & M^{2} \\ M^{2} & m_{\phi}^{2}\end{array}\right)$
Mass eigenstates: $\binom{h}{\phi}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos (\theta) & -\sin (\theta) \\ \sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta)\end{array}\right)\binom{h_{1}}{h_{2}}$

Express the potential in terms of mass eigenstates
We set $\theta \ll 1$, which means $h_{1} \simeq h, h_{2} \simeq \phi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{h_{1}}=125 \mathrm{GeV} \\
& m_{h_{2}}<\frac{m_{h_{1}}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## SM-like Higgs coupling analysis

We have found an interesting difference:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Conventional: } \quad g_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{2}} \simeq \frac{m_{h}^{2}}{v_{h}}\left(1+2 \frac{m_{\phi}^{2}}{m_{h}^{2}}\right) \theta^{2} \\
\text { CW system: } \quad g_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{2}} \simeq-\frac{m_{\phi}^{2}}{v_{h}}\left(1-4 \frac{m_{\phi}^{2}}{m_{h}^{2}}\right) \theta^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the triple scalar coupling, we naively expect

$$
g_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{2}} \sim \lambda_{\operatorname{mix}} v_{h}
$$

This is right in the conventional Higgs potential case, but in the CW system, it is found to be very suppressed!

## How to confirm the symmetry breaking structure?

1. Measuring Anomalous SM-like Higgs couplings

Higgs-like particle is NOT 100\% the SM Higgs boson

$$
\frac{C_{N P}}{C_{S M}}=\cos (\theta)<1
$$

Same for Conventional/CW system
2. Searching for Anomalous Higgs decay: $h_{1} \rightarrow h_{2} h_{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { Conventional } & \text { Vs. } & \text { CW system } \\
\mathrm{BR}\left(h_{1} \rightarrow h_{2} h_{2}\right) & \gg & \mathrm{BR}\left(h_{1} \rightarrow h_{2} h_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## How to confirm the symmetry breaking structure?

## Baules \& NO, in preparation



## Best case scenario

$\checkmark$ Anomalous Higgs couplings
$\checkmark$ Observation of $h_{1} \rightarrow h_{2} h_{2}$
Yes or No

## Summary

- Gauged U(1) extended SMs are interesting BSM candidate.
- Toward probing the $U(1)$ extended SMs, ILC studies (simple theoretical analysis) are presented.
- To show the ILC feasibility, detailed analysis (realistic detector simulations) are necessary.

