Probing U(1) extended Standard Models at ILC

Nobuchika Okada

University of Alabama



Based on collaborations with

Victor Baules (U. of Alabama) Arindam Das (Hokkaido U.) Bhupal Dev (U. of Washington in STL) Satomi Okada (U. of Alabama) Digesh Raut (U. of Delaware)

Talk @ mini-Workshop on BSM at ILCS, March 02, 2022

Problems/Mysteries in the Standard Model

- Origin of Neutrino Masses?
- Dark Matter?
- Origin of the Electroweak symmetry breaking?
- Cosmic Inflation before Big Bang?
- Origin of Matter-Antimatter asymmetry in the Universe?
- Strong CP problem
- More

Need to go <u>beyond the SM</u> for solving the problems!

BSM candidate: gauged U(1) extended SMs

Gauge group: $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)$

The most popular scenario: the minimal B-L model

- 1. B-L (Baryon number minus Lepton number) is unique anomaly free global symmetry in the SM
- 2. Why not gauging the U(1) B-L?

We may follow the history:



Minimal Gauged B-L Extension of the SM

Mohapatra & Marshak; Wetterich; others

The model is based on
$$SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{B-L}$$

Particle Contents

		$\mathrm{SU}(3)_c$	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$
i=1,2,3	q_L^i	3	2	+1/6	+1/3
	u_R^i	3	1	+2/3	+1/3
	d_R^i	3	1	-1/3	+1/3
	ℓ_L^i	1	2	-1/2	-1
New fermions:	N_{R}^{i}	1	1	0	-1
	e_R^i	1	1	-1	-1
	H	1	2	-1/2	0
New scalar:	Φ	1	1	0	+2

More general U(1) extended SM

Appelquist, Dobrescu & Hopper, PRD 68 (1998) 035012

$$\mathrm{SU}(3)_C \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{U}(1)_Y \times \mathrm{U}(1)_X$$

Particle Cont	tents	$\mathrm{SU}(3)_C$	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_X$		
	q_L^i	3	2	1/6	$(1/6)x_H$	+1/3	
i=1,2,3	u_R^i	3	1	2/3	$(2/3)x_{H}$	+1/3	
	d_R^i	3	1	-1/3	$(-1/3)x_{H}$	+1/3	
	ℓ^i_L	1	2	-1/2	$(-1/2)x_{H}$	-1	
	N_R^i	1	1	0		-1	
	e_R^i	1	1	-1	$(-1)x_H$	-1	
	Н	1	2	-1/2	$(-1/2)x_{H}$	0	
	Φ	1	1	0		+2	
> U(1)x charge: $Q_X = Y_f x_H + Q_{B-L}$ > B-L limit: $T \to 0$							
/ D-I		• 1.11	$\rightarrow \cup$				

 $x_H \to 0$

New Yukawa terms in Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Yukawa} \supset -\sum_{i,j} Y_D^{ij} \overline{\ell_L^i} H N_R^j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_k Y_N^k \Phi \overline{N_R^k} N_R^k + \text{h.c.} \\ \underline{U(1)x \text{ symmetry breaking via}} \left(\langle \Phi \rangle = \frac{v_X}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \\ & \longrightarrow \underbrace{U(1)x \text{ gauge boson } (Z' \text{ boson}) \text{ mass}}_{m_{Z'}} = 2 g_X v_X \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Mass scale is controlled} \\ \text{by } U(1)x \text{ Sym. Br. scale} \\ \hline \text{Heavy Majorana neutrino mass} \\ \hline M_{N^i} = \frac{Y_N^k}{\sqrt{2}} v_X \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \underbrace{U(1)x \text{ sym breaking also}}_{\text{generates RHN mass}} \\ \hline \end{array}$$



Seesaw mechanism after EW sym. breaking

U(1) Higgs sector could be the origin of EWSB

U(1) Higgs model and Coleman-Weinberg mechanism

Toy model:	Field	Symbol	$\mathrm{U}(1)$
	Higgs Scalar	Φ	+2
	Weyl Fermion	Ψ	-1

* General picture. This can be a part of the B-L model

We impose Classical Conformal symmetry

$$V_{tree} = \lambda_{\Phi} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2$$

* defining this theory as ``Massless Theory"

Yukawa coupling is allowed:

$$\mathscr{L}_Y = Y \Phi \Psi \Psi + h.c.$$

Coleman-Weinberg mechanism

Coleman & Weinberg, PRD 7 (1973) 1888

$$V_{CW} = V_{tree} + V_{1-loop}$$
$$= \frac{\lambda_{\Phi}}{4} \phi^4 + \left[\frac{\beta_{\Phi}}{8} \phi^4 \left(\ln\left[\frac{\phi^2}{v_{\phi}^2}\right] - \frac{25}{6}\right)\right],$$
where $\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\phi + i\chi\right), \quad \beta_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(96g^4 - Y^4\right)$

 \succ Radiative U(1) symmetry breaking at $\phi = v_{\phi}$

> Parameter relations:

$$\lambda_{\Phi} = \frac{11}{6} \beta_{\Phi}$$
$$m_{\phi}^2 = \lambda_{\Phi} v_{\phi}^2$$

Interesting properties:

> Origin of gauge symmetry breaking? quantum corrections (QM system knows where to be)



> Predictability

Relation between Higgs mass and U(1) gauge boson mass

> Yukawa coupling must be sub-dominant,

$$\beta_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(96g^4 - Y^4\right) > 0,$$

otherwise unstable vacuum

Application to the Standard Model

Induced EW symmetry breaking

Classically conformal U(1) extended SM

Iso, NO & Orikasa, PLB 676 (2009) 81; PRD 80 (2009)11007

$$V = \lambda_h \left(H^{\dagger} H \right)^2 - \left(\lambda_{mix} \left(H^{\dagger} H \right) \left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \right) \right) + V_{CW} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)$$

Negative Higgs mass squared is induced by Phi VEV!

$$m_{H}^{2} = -\lambda_{mix} |\langle \Phi \rangle|^{2}$$

The origin of EWSB is the radiative U(1) symmetry breaking!

Probing U(1) extended Standard Models at ILC

Properties & Phenomenology of U(1) extended SMs

New Particles:

- Z' boson
- Heavy Majorana neutrinos for the seesaw mechanism
- SM-singlet U(1) Higgs

Phenomenology:

- Z' boson production & decay
- Z' boson mediated processes
- Heavy neutrino production
- U(1) Higgs boson phone

achieved only for $x_H = -4$



For ILC studies, we need to consider the current LHC constraints whenever Z' couples to u & d quarks



Das, Bhupal & NO, PLB 799 (2019) 135052

Very severe constraints from the resonance search at LHC Run-2

$$pp \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow e^+ e^- / \mu^+ \mu^-$$

Interpretation to the upper bound on the U(1) gauge coupling as a function of Mz'

ILC energy is expected to be

$$\sqrt{S_{\rm ILC}} \ll M_{Z'}$$

ILC studies for the processes involving Z' boson



Sample ILC studies



16



* For detailed analysis, see Das &NO, arXiv: 2008.04023

(3) Heavy Majorana neutrino pair production at ILC



Das, NO, Okada & Raut PLB 797 (2019) 134849

The production cross section can be sizable, while satisfying the LHC constrains

The ILC can be HMN factory!

Same-sign dilepton final states as ``Smoking-gun" signature of Majorana nature

HMN can be long-lived



II. Exploring EWSB origin at ILC

Conventional:

$$V = \frac{\lambda_h}{4}(h^2 - v_h^2)^2 + \frac{\lambda_\phi}{4}(\phi^2 - v_\phi^2)^2 - \frac{\lambda_{mix}}{4}(h^2 - v_h^2)(\phi^2 - v_\phi^2)$$

EW symmetry is broken w/o λ_{mix}

CW system:

$$V = \frac{\lambda_h}{4}h^4 + \frac{\lambda_\phi}{4}\phi^4 + \frac{\beta_\phi}{8}\phi^4 \left(\ln\left[\frac{\phi^2}{v_\phi^2}\right] - \frac{25}{6} \right) - \frac{\lambda_{mix}h^2\phi^2}{4}$$

The radiative U(1) symmetry breaking and $\lambda_{mix} > 0$ are crucial for the EW symmetry breaking

Potential analysis

Mass matrix:
$$M_{sq} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_h^2 V & \partial_h \partial_\phi V \\ \partial_\phi \partial_h V & \partial_\phi^2 V \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{h=v_h,\phi=v_\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} m_h^2 & M^2 \\ M^2 & m_\phi^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Mass eigenstates: $\begin{pmatrix} h \\ \phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}$

Express the potential in terms of mass eigenstates We set $\theta \ll 1$, which means $h_1 \simeq h$, $h_2 \simeq \phi$

We set
$$\theta \ll 1$$
, which means $h_1 \simeq h$, $h_2 \simeq m_{h_1} = 125 \,\text{GeV}$
 $m_{h_2} < \frac{m_{h_1}}{2}$

SM-like Higgs coupling analysis

We have found an interesting difference:

Conventional:
$$g_{h_1h_2h_2} \simeq \frac{m_h^2}{v_h} \left(1 + 2\frac{m_\phi^2}{m_h^2}\right) \theta^2$$

CW system: $g_{h_1h_2h_2} \simeq -\frac{m_\phi^2}{v_h} \left(1 - 4\frac{m_\phi^2}{m_h^2}\right) \theta^2$

For the triple scalar coupling, we naively expect

$$\left(g_{h_1h_2h_2} \sim \lambda_{mix} v_h\right)$$

This is right in the conventional Higgs potential case,

but in the CW system, it is found to be very suppressed!

How to confirm the symmetry breaking structure?

1. Measuring Anomalous SM-like Higgs couplings

Higgs-like particle is NOT 100% the SM Higgs boson

$$\frac{C_{NP}}{C_{SM}} = \cos(\theta) < 1$$

Same for Conventional/CW system

2. Searching for Anomalous Higgs decay: $h_1 \rightarrow h_2 h_2$

Conventional VS. CW system

$$BR(h_1 \rightarrow h_2 h_2) \gg BR(h_1 \rightarrow h_2 h_2)$$

How to confirm the symmetry breaking structure?



Baules & NO, in preparation

Best case scenario

✓ Anomalous Higgs couplings ✓ Observation of $h_1 \rightarrow h_2 h_2$ Yes or No

<u>Summary</u>

- Gauged U(1) extended SMs are interesting BSM candidate.
- Toward probing the U(1) extended SMs, ILC studies (simple theoretical analysis) are presented.
- To show the ILC feasibility, detailed analysis (realistic detector simulations) are necessary.