

WG2: Joint Meeting with BDS WP3: Crab Cavity Specifications

Peter McIntosh,

UKRI-STFC Daresbury Laboratory

1^{6th} February 2022







ILC CC Specifications (v11)

	Parameter	Recen (After	it Spec r TDR)	10Hz Upgrade ^{1,2}	1 T	eV CoM	Spec ²					
	Beam Energy (GeV) e-		125			500						
	Crossing Angle (mrad)			14	14							
	Installation site (m from IP)			14								
	RF Repetition Rate (Hz)	<u>!</u>	5	10		4						
	number of bunches	13	12	2625)						
	Bunch Train Length (ms)	72	27	961	897							
	Bunch Spacing (ns)	5	554 366									
	Beam current (mA)	5.8 8.75		7.6								
	Operating Temp (K)	2										
Interface apertures?	Cryomodule installation length (m)			3.8								
	Horizontal beam-pipe separation (m)	0.1967 (centre) ±	0.0266 (each end of installation lengtl								
			_	_								
	Cavity Frequency (GHz)	3.9	2.6	1.3	3.9	2.6	1.3					
	Total Kick Voltage (MV)	0.615	0.923	1.845	2.5	3.7	7.4					
	Max Ep (MV/m)			45			45					
	Max Bp (mT)			80			80					
	Amplitude regulation/cavity (% rms)	3.5 (for 2% luminosity drop)										
	Relative RF Phase Jitter (deg rms)	0.069										
	Timing Jitter (fs rms)	49 (for 2% luminosity drop)										
Scaling with frequency?	Max Detuning (Hz)											
ity wakefields (GdfidL sims)?	Longitudinal impedance threshold (Ohm)											
	Trasverse impedance threshold (MOhm/m) (X,Y)			48.8, 61.7 (fr	om TDF	۲)						
	Cavity field rotation tolerance/cavity (mrad rms)		5.2	(for 2% lumi	nosity d	lrop)						
N N	Beam tilt tolerance (H and V) (mrad rms and urad rms)	o.35, 7.4 (for 2% luminosity drop)										
Collimation studies? 🔲	Minimum CC beam-pipe aperture size (mm)		20	0 (same as FD) magne	ets)						
·	Minimum Exraction beam-pipe aperture size (mm)	20										
	Beam size at CC location (X, Y,Z) (mm,um,um)	0.97, 66, 300										
	Beta function at CC location (X, Y) (m,m)	23200, 15400										
	CC System operation		ass	ume CW-mod	de opera	ation						

Cav



WP3 Crab Cavity Developments



Options study (currently underway):



- Cavity down-selection #1 (Sept 22):
 - EM design optimisation for cavity, couplers (input and HOM) and tuner.
 - Select 2 primary options to take forward to prototype stage.
- Cavity down-selection #2 (Mar 24):
 - Choose most optimum single CC technology solution from prototype tests.
- Propose to use both prototype CC's in VTS for synchronisation studies.
- Use final chosen design as basis for 2-cavity CM integration design and prototype
 - Targeted basis for ILC Pre-Lab phase.



WP3 Planning for Pre-Lab



		Tmescale						When?
Activity	R&D Plan	2021 2022 (Yr 1		1)	2023 (Yr 2)		2024 (Yr 3)	
		Q1 Q2 Q3	Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3	3 Q4	Q1 Q2 Q3 C	ע24 O	1 Q2 Q3 Q4	4
Set CC specifications	T0 + 3m							24-Jun-21
Bare cavity EM design parameters								
Hom damped cavity parameters				(us		(ə		
				esig		ptot		
HOM coupler development				D N		oto		
Mechanical design				Ē		ď		
				n 1		n 2		-
1st Workshop review of various design options (cavity, HOMs, couplers)	T0 + 9m			cisic		isic		07-Dec-21
				De		Dec		
Multipacting assessment						Т		
Tuning solution and pressure analysis								
			_					
2nd Workshop review of various design options (cavity, HOMs, couplers, multipacting, tuning)	T0 + 15m							21-Jun-22
Decision 1 - cavity shape HOMs couplers multinacting tuning pressure stability fabrication (2 cavities chosen)	T0 + 18m							27-Sen-22
becision a courty shape, nonis, couplets, multipacting, tuning, pressure stability, tabileation (2 cavities chosen)	10 1 1011							27-36p-22
Decision 2 - Prototype cavity manufacture and ancillaries (couplers (FPC and HOMs) and tuner) and high power test (1 cavity chosen)	T0 + 36m							Mar-24

WP3 Planning and Pre-Lab Phasing



	Milestone	Activity	When?	Duration (Months)?
	MS-0	Set CC design specification	T0 + 3m	3
	MS-1	Cavity EM design optimisation	T0 + 18m	12
	MS-2	Coupler EM design optimisation (i.e. LOM, SOM and HOM)	T0 + 18m	12
Pre-Lab Phase	MS-3	Dressed cavity mechanical design with tuner (concept)	T0 + 18m	6
	MS-4	Prelim cavity down-selection process (2off) - Agreed	T0 + 18m	Decision 1
	MS-5	Input coupler design and prototype validation	T0 + 36m	24
	MS-6	High power validation of prototype cavity?	T0 + 36m	18
	MS-7	Integrated 'dressed' cavity optimisation	T0 + 36m	3
	MS-8	Final Cavity down-selection (choose final solution)? Include input coupler Agreed	T0 + 36m	Decision 2
	MS-9	Preliminary Crab CM design - confirm integration with beam-line specification	T0 + 48m	24
	MS-10	Final CM engineering design prior to production	T0 + 54m	6
	MS-11	CM assembly design	T0 + 54m	6
	MS-12	CM assembly tooling development	T0 + 54m	6
	MS-13	Design of CC pCM transport cage and shock damper	T0 + 60m	6
, in the second	MS-14	CC production, including cavities w/He tank + mag. shield for CM, high-pressure gas regulation, EP/HT/Clean work, including VT	T0 + 72m	18
	MS-15	Coupler production including preparation/RF processing readiness (excluding klystron, baking furnace, clean room)	T0 + 72m	12
	MS-16	CM production including High-pressure-gas formality, vacuum vessel, cold-mass (cavity-string, coupler/tuner, SCM, etc.)	T0 + 72m	18
	MS-17	Manufacture of CC pCM transport cage and shock damper system	T0 + 72m	12
	MS-18	Prototype CM (pCM) assembly	T0 + 78m	12
	MS-19	Acceptance verification of integrated CM	T0 + 79m	1
	MS-20	High power test of prototype CM	T0 + 83m	3
	MS-21	RF synchronisation of integrated 2-cavity CM cavities	T0 + 86m	3
	MS-22	Transportation validation (ship trans-atlantic and retest)	T0 + 91m	6

WP3 Specification Discussions Today



BDS specific actions from WP3 Design Review Workshop from 8/12: <u>https://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/9515/</u>

- BDS team requested to perform a 10σ simulation, as this is expected to be more representative of beamline effects and likely to need a larger aperture, but how much larger?
 Action: T Okugi
- It was noted that the beam-size at the CC location will vary with energy and so parameters should be provided for 1TeV.
 Action: T Okugi
- Important that the WP3 team have a clear specification for the interfacing beam-line components, which need to be confirmed. Action: A Yamamoto
- How much do need to detune CC if it is to be parked, suggestion of >1000 x BW proposed, seems too high. Can BDS team provide some indication of scaling for linac vs circular machine.



MANY THANKS

Questions?



Science and Technology Facilities Counci