

# HOM impedance and power requirements for ILC Crab Cavity

V. Yakovlev, S. Belomestnykh, and A. Lunin

5/11/2021

Impedance and power requirements for the ILC Crab Cavity are determined by the beam offset with respect to the considered mode electrical axis. Note that this offset may be different for different modes because of the cavity field perturbations – due to e.g., a fundamental power coupler or HOM couplers – and manufacturing errors.

## I. *HOM impedance limitation due to resonance excitation*

The crab cavity HOM impedance should be small enough to avoid single bunch effects such as

1. Distortion of the crabbing voltage along the bunch
2. Emittance dilution

In addition to the single-bunch effects, one may have resonance excitation of an HOM mode by the beam. The cavity resonance excitation is determined by the cavity HOM spectrum and the beam spectrum. Resonance excitation provides the maximal voltage which changes the beam particle transverse momentum  $p_{\perp}$ :

$$\frac{\Delta p_{\perp} c}{e} = U_{kick} = \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 - i \frac{\omega \omega_0}{Q}} \times k_0 x_0 I_0 \left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $x_0$  is the beam offset with respect to the HOM electric axis,  $\omega_0$  is the HOM frequency,  $\omega$  is the beam spectrum line frequency,  $k_0 = \omega_0/c$  is the wavenumber,  $I_0$  is the average beam current, and  $\left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right)$  is the HOM transverse impedance in Ohm;  $Q$  is the loaded quality factor. The transverse impedance (for example, horizontal) is defined as

$$\left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right) \equiv \frac{\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial E_z(x,0,z)}{\partial x} \right)_{x=0} e^{i\omega z/c} dz \right|^2}{W k_0^2 \omega_0} \equiv \frac{U_{kick}^2}{W \omega_0} \quad (2)$$

Here  $W$  is the energy stored in the cavity,  $E_z(x, y, z)$  is the longitudinal electric field of the HOM.

On resonance, the kick voltage amplitude  $U_{kick} = |U_{kick}|$  is

$$U_{kick} = k_0 x_0 I_0 Q \left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right) = k_0 x_0 I_0 r_{\perp}, \quad (3)$$

where  $r_{\perp} = Q \left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right)$  is the HOM shunt impedance, and  $Q$  is the HOM loaded quality factor.

In pulsed regime,

$$U_{kick} = k_0 x_0 I_p r_{\perp} \frac{1 - e^{-t/\tau}}{1 - e^{-1/(f\tau)}}, \quad (4)$$

here  $I_p$  is the pulse beam current,  $t_p$  is the pulse width,  $f_r$  is the pulse repetition rate, and  $\tau = \frac{2Q}{\omega_0}$  is the time constant. If  $Q$  is very large, and  $f_r \tau \gg 1$ , the formula may be simplified; in this case the kick induced by the beam is determined by the average current as in CW regime (see Eq. (3)). If the time constant is much smaller than the pulse width, i.e.,  $\frac{t_p}{\tau} \gg 1$ , the kick induced by the beam is determined by pulsed current

$$U_{kick} = k_0 x_0 I_p r_{\perp}. \quad (5)$$

It is the most pessimistic case, which will be used for further estimations.

1. To avoid distortion of the crabbing voltage, horizontal kick  $U_{kick}$  caused by HOM should be much smaller than the crabbing voltage  $U_0$ . In the most pessimistic case of resonance between the HOM and the beam spectrum (or when  $\omega_0 = \omega$ ), one gets the requirement for the kick voltage amplitude

$$U_{kick} \sigma_z k_0 = k_0^2 \sigma_z x_0 I_p r_{\perp} \ll U_0 \sigma_z \omega_{RF}/c, \quad (6)$$

and

$$r_{\perp} \ll \frac{U_0 \omega_{RF}/c}{k_0^2 x_0 I_p}. \quad (7)$$

Here  $\omega_{RF}$  is the RF frequency.

2. To avoid emittance dilution, the transverse kick spread along the bunch caused by an HOM should be much smaller than the transverse momentum spread  $\sigma_{p_{\perp}} c/e$ , or

$$U_{kick} \sigma_z k_0 = k_0^2 x_0 \sigma_z I_p r_{\perp} \ll \frac{\sigma_{p_{\perp}} c}{e}, \quad (8)$$

because in resonance the kick is shifted versus the beam current by  $90^\circ$  - see (1). On the other hand, one has

$$\frac{\sigma_{p_{\perp}} c}{e} = \frac{p_{\parallel} c}{e} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma \beta}} = U \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma \beta}}, \quad (9)$$

where  $U$  is the beam energy,  $\varepsilon$  is the normalized transverse emittance,  $\gamma$  is the relativistic factor and  $\beta$  is the beta-function corresponding to the cavity position. Therefore, for both horizontal and vertical transverse shunt impedance one needs

$$r_{\perp} \ll \frac{U}{k_0^2 x_0 \sigma_z I_p} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma \beta}}. \quad (10)$$

Here  $r_{\perp}$  is the horizontal or vertical transverse shunt impedance,  $x_0$  is the horizontal or vertical transverse offset, and  $\varepsilon$  is the horizontal or vertical transverse normalized emittance.

Note that if HOM frequency is far of the harmonic of operating frequency, the kick is the same along the bunch, and can be compensated by correctors.

## II. Required RF power

RF power necessary to maintain the crabbing voltage should compensate the ohmic losses in the cavity – negligible for SC cavities – and compensate the voltage induced by the beam if the beam has an offset with respect to the electric axis of the cavity. Note that the kick voltage induced by the beam may be in phase or out of phase with the crabbing voltage depending on the sign of the offset. The maximal required RF power  $P$  for the cavity detuned from the resonance frequency by  $\Delta\omega$  is (see Appendix III):

$$P = \frac{U_0^2}{4Q\left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right)} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{I_p Q \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) k_0 x_0}{U_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2Q\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (11)$$

Here  $\omega_0 = \omega_{RF}$  is the RF frequency. The optimal external  $Q$  corresponding to minimal power is

$$Q_{opt} = \left[ \left( \frac{1}{Q_0} + \frac{I_p \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) k_0 x_0}{U_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2}, \quad (12)$$

where  $Q_0$  is the cavity unloaded quality factor. For the SRF cavity one can use simplified estimation for the loaded  $Q$ :

$$Q \approx \left[ \left( \frac{I_p \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) k_0 x_0}{U_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2}. \quad (13)$$

If the beam offset is zero, the power is determined by the maximal cavity detuning  $\Delta\omega = 2\pi\Delta f$ :

$$P = \frac{U_0^2 2\Delta\omega/\omega_0}{\left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right)} = 2 \frac{U_0^2 \Delta f/f}{\left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right)}. \quad (14)$$

The horizontal beam offset does not influence the required power if

$$x_0 \ll \frac{2\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} \cdot \frac{U_0}{I_p k_0 \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right)}. \quad (15)$$

In the opposite case, if

$$x_0 \gg \frac{2\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} \cdot \frac{U_0}{I_p k_0 \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right)} \quad (16)$$

the required power is determined by the pulsed beam current,

$$P = U_0 I_p k_0 x_0. \quad (17)$$

Anyway, the required power is determined by the cavity, and is not the fundamental requirement.

## III. Single-bunch effects

If the bunch has very high population, the kick caused by the bunch transverse *horizontal* wake potential may alter the crabbing kick voltage. This gives us limitation for the transverse kick-factor:

$$k_{\perp} \ll \frac{U_0 \sigma_z \omega_{RF} / c}{q x_0}, \quad (18)$$

where  $q$  is the bunch charge and  $x_0$  is the beam *horizontal* offset. The transverse *vertical* wake potential should not increase the bunch emittance:

$$k_{\perp} \ll \frac{U}{q y_0} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma \beta}}. \quad (19)$$

Here  $y_0$  is the beam *vertical* offset.

#### IV. Cavity detuning for operation without crabbing

If the cavity is not in operation, it should be detuned properly such a way that the voltage induced by the beam does not affect the beam emittance. For detuned cavity the kick caused by the induced field is about the same for all the particles of a short bunch and is equal to the kick amplitude  $U_{kick}$ , see (1). It should be much smaller than the transverse momentum spread  $\sigma_{p_{\perp}} c/e$ . If the cavity detune  $|\Delta f|$  is high compared to the bandwidth, the transverse kick is equal to

$$U_{kick} \approx \frac{1}{2m} \times k_0 x_0 I_0 \left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right) Q, \quad (20)$$

where  $\left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right)$  and  $Q$  are transverse impedance and loaded quality factor of the operating mode,  $k_0$  is the wave number corresponding to the operation mode frequency, i.e.,  $k_0 = 2\pi f_{RF} / c$  and  $m$  is ratio of the cavity detune to the bandwidth, i.e.,

$$m = \frac{|f_{RF} - f|}{f_{RF}} \times Q \equiv \frac{|\Delta f|}{f_{RF}} \times Q. \quad (21)$$

Therefore, taking into account (9), one has

$$\frac{1}{2m} \times k_0 x_0 I_0 \left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right) Q \ll U \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_x}{\gamma \beta_x}}, \quad (22)$$

or

$$m \gg \frac{\pi f_{RF} x_0 I_0 \left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right) Q}{c U \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_x}{\gamma \beta_x}}}. \quad (23)$$

#### V. Requirements for ILC

For the current version of ILC collider we have

Beam energy

$$U = 250 \text{ GeV and } \gamma = 5 \times 10^5$$

Pulsed beam current

$$I_p = 5.8 \text{ mA}$$

Pulse width	$t_p = 727 \mu\text{s}$
Repetition rate	$f_r = 5 \text{ Hz}$
Average beam current	$I_{av} = 20 \mu\text{A}$
Vertical $\beta$ function at the cavity position	$\beta_y = 15.4 \text{ km}$
Horizontal $\beta$ function at the cavity position	$\beta_x = 23.2 \text{ km}$
Bunch charge	$q = 3.2 \text{ nC}$
Crab cavity kick voltage	$U_0 = 0.92 \text{ MV (2.6 GHz)}$
The bunch length	$\sigma_z = 300 \mu\text{m}$
Normalized vertical emittance	$\varepsilon_y = 35 \text{ nm}$
Normalized horizontal emittance	$\varepsilon_x = 10 \mu\text{m}$

Suppose the HOM electric axis offset with respect to the beam is  $x_0 = y_0 = 1 \text{ mm}$ .

1. In this case, to avoid distortion of the crab voltage kick distribution along the bunch, one has for the *horizontal* shunt impedance of the “most dangerous mode”:

$$r_{\perp} \ll \frac{U_0 \frac{\omega_{RF}}{c}}{k_0^2 x_0 l_p} = \frac{U_0 c f_{RF}}{2\pi f_{HOM}^2 x_0 l_p} \quad \text{and} \quad (24)$$

$$r_{\perp} f_{HOM}^2 \ll \frac{U_0 c f_{RF}}{2\pi x_0 l_p} = 19 \text{ GOhm} \cdot \text{GHz}^2. \quad (25)$$

2. To exclude the HOM influence on the *vertical* emittance, one should have

$$r_{\perp} \ll \frac{U}{k_0^2 y_0 \sigma_z l_p} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_y}{\gamma \beta_y}} \quad \text{and} \quad (26)$$

$$r_{\perp} f_{HOM}^2 \ll \frac{U c^2}{(2\pi)^2 \sigma_z y_0 l_p} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_y}{\gamma \beta_y}} = 0.7 \text{ GOhm} \cdot \text{GHz}^2. \quad (27)$$

3. To exclude the HOM influence on the *horizontal* emittance, one should have

$$r_{\perp} f_{HOM}^2 \ll \frac{U c^2}{(2\pi)^2 \sigma_z x_0 l_p} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_x}{\gamma \beta_x}} = 9.6 \text{ GOhm} \cdot \text{GHz}^2 \quad (28)$$

One can see from (21) and (24) that the value of  $r_{\perp} f_{HOM}^2$  is limited by the HOM influence on the *horizontal* emittance.

4. The horizontal kick factor necessary to avoid the crabbing voltage distortion should be

$$k_{\perp} \ll \frac{U_0 \sigma_z \omega_{RF} / c}{q x_0} = 4.6 \times 10^3 \text{ V/pC/m} \quad (29)$$

5. The kick factor necessary to avoid *horizontal* emittance dilution should be

$$k_{\perp} \ll \frac{U}{q x_0} \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_x}{\gamma \beta}} = 2.3 \times 10^3 \text{ V/pC/m} \quad (30)$$

6. The kick factor necessary to avoid *vertical* emittance dilution should be

$$k_{\perp} \ll \frac{U}{q\gamma_0} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_y}{\gamma\beta}} = 1.7 \times 10^2 \text{ V/pC/m} \quad (31)$$

Typically for the cavities operating at 1-5 GHz the kick factor has the order of  $< 100 \text{ V/pC/m}$ . **It means that single-bunch effects are not a problem.**

## VI. Example – QMIR

For QMIR cavity scaled from 2.8 GHz to 2.6 GHz one has:

Operation mode	$\left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) = 1040 \text{ Ohm (2.6 GHz)}$
Maximal dipole <i>horizontal</i> HOM	$\left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) = 10 \text{ Ohm (2.5 GHz); } Q < 1 \times 10^5$ .
Maximal dipole <i>vertical</i> HOM	$\left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) = 10 \text{ Ohm (4 GHz); } Q < 1 \times 10^4$ .
Horizontal kick factor	$k_{\perp} = 400 \text{ V/pC/m}$ – no problem
Vertical kick factor	$k_{\perp} = 100 \text{ V/pC/m}$ – no problem

1. We have requirement for *horizontal* shunt impedance:

$$r_{\perp} f_{HOM}^2 \ll 9.6 \text{ GOhm} \cdot \text{GHz}^2$$

or  $r_{\perp} \ll 1.5 \text{ GOhm}$ . It means that for this mode  $Q \ll 1.5 \times 10^8$ . QMIR has  $Q < 1 \times 10^5$ .

***Ergo: a QMIR cavity well satisfies the horizontal HOM impedance requirement.***

2. We have requirement for *vertical* shunt impedance:

$$r_{\perp} f_{HOM}^2 \ll 0.7 \text{ GOhm} \cdot \text{GHz}^2$$

or  $r_{\perp} \ll 600 \text{ MOhm}$ . It means that for this mode  $Q \ll 4.4 \times 10^6$ . QMIR has  $Q < 1 \times 10^4$ .

***Ergo: a QMIR cavity well satisfies the vertical HOM impedance requirement.***

3. Power requirements

Suppose that the maximal cavity detune is  $\Delta f = 1000 \text{ Hz}$  (LFD, microphonics). In this case the required power will be determined by this detune if the beam offset is

$$x_0 < \frac{2\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} \cdot \frac{U_0}{I_p k_0 \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right)} = 2 \text{ mm}. \quad (32)$$

It means that in this limit, e.g., when the beam offset is 1 mm, the cavity required power is practically independent of the beam current, and the average power is

$$P = 2 \frac{U_0^2 \Delta f / f}{\left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right)} = 0.61 \text{ kW} + \text{overhead of } 100\% = 1.2 \text{ kW}. \quad (33)$$

The average power is 43 W (as the duty factor is 0.36%).

The cavity loaded  $Q$  is

$$Q = 1.3 \times 10^6, \quad (34)$$

and the cavity bandwidth  $\delta f$  is

$$\delta f = 2 \text{ kHz} \quad (35)$$

4. The cavity detune for operation without crabbing  
According to (23) and (24), for QMIR cavity one has

$$m \gg \frac{\pi f_{RF} x_0 I_0 \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) Q}{cU \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_x}{\gamma \beta_x}}} = 29 \quad (36)$$

and, therefore,

$$|f_{RF} - f| \gg 58 \text{ kHz} \quad (37)$$

Therefore, in order to put the cavity out of operation, one should detune the cavity by  $\gg 58$  kHz.  $\sim 200$  kHz should be OK. It gives the requirement for the tuner for QMIR cavity.

## VII. Summary

From physics point of view, it makes sense to put the following parameters into specification for the ILC crab cavity:

1. *Horizontal* kick voltage  $U_0 f_{RF} = 2.4 \text{ MV} \cdot \text{GHz}$
2. Requirement for *horizontal* HOM impedance  $r_{\perp} f_{HOM}^2 \ll \frac{U c^2}{(2\pi)^2 \sigma_z x_0 I_p} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_x}{\gamma \beta_x}} = 9.6 \text{ GOhm} \cdot \text{GHz}^2$
3. Requirement for *vertical* HOM impedance  $r_{\perp} f_{HOM}^2 \ll \frac{U c^2}{(2\pi)^2 \sigma_z y_0 I_p} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_y}{\gamma \beta_y}} = 0.7 \text{ GOhm} \cdot \text{GHz}^2$ .
4. The cavity kick factors – vertical and horizontal for ILC are not critical
5. Input pulse RF power depends on the cavity design, may be specified  $< 2 \text{ kW}$  pulsed
6. Beam vertical and horizontal offset with respect to the cavity axis  $< 1 \text{ mm}$
7. HOM electric axis offset with respect to the cavity axis  $< 1 \text{ mm}$

## Appendix I

According to Wilson's theorem for a dipole mode (see Appendix II), the kick voltage  $U_{kick}$  induced by a short bunch having charge  $q$  having offset  $x_0$  is

$$\mathbf{U}_{kick} = \frac{i}{2}cqk_0^2x_0\left(\frac{r_\perp}{Q}\right) = \frac{i}{2}q\omega_0k_0x_0\left(\frac{r_\perp}{Q}\right) \quad (\text{AI.1})$$

For a bunch train containing the similar bunch having the same offset one has on resonance, i.e., when the bunch separation  $T$  is multiple of the RF period:

$$\mathbf{U}_{kick} = \frac{i}{2}cqk_0^2x_0\left(\frac{r_\perp}{Q}\right) = \frac{i}{2}q\omega_0k_0x_0\left(\frac{r_\perp}{Q}\right)\sum_{j=0}^n e^{-jT/\tau} = \frac{i}{2}q\omega_0k_0x_0\left(\frac{r_\perp}{Q}\right)\frac{1-e^{-Tn/\tau}}{1-e^{-T/\tau}}, \quad (\text{AI.2})$$

where  $\tau = \frac{2Q}{\omega}$  is the time constant. Considering that the pulse width  $t_p = nT$  and that  $T \ll \tau$  one has for the kick amplitude  $U_{kick} = |\mathbf{U}_{kick}|$ :

$$U_{kick} = k_0x_0r_\perp I_p (1 - e^{-t_p/\tau}), \quad (\text{AI.3})$$

where  $I_p = q/T$  is the pulsed beam current.

For periodic pulses one has

$$U_{kick} = k_0x_0r_\perp I_p (1 - e^{-t_p/\tau}) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-j/(f_r\tau)} = k_0x_0r_\perp I_p \frac{1-e^{-t_p/\tau}}{1-e^{-1/(f_r\tau)}}, \quad (\text{AI.4})$$

where  $f_r$  is the pulse repetition rate.

## Appendix II

Let us consider the dipole mode excitation by a single short ultra-relativistic bunch. According to the Wilson's theorem, a short bunch "sees" a half of the voltage it excites in a cavity. Thus, from the energy conservation one can find that

$$\frac{1}{2}Uq = W, \quad (\text{A.II.1})$$

where  $q$  is the bunch charge,  $U$  is the voltage induced in the cavity, and  $W$  is the RF energy stored in the cavity after the bunch left it. Consider the bunch moving parallel to the cavity axis with an offset  $x_0$ . In this case,

$$U = A \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_z(x_0, 0, z) e^{ik_0z} dz \right| \quad (\text{A.II.2})$$

and

$$W = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int |\vec{E}|^2 dV. \quad (\text{A.II.3})$$

$A$  is the eigenmode amplitude. From (A.II.1-A.II.3) one finds

$$\frac{1}{A} = q \frac{\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_z(x_0, 0, z) e^{ik_0z} dz \right|}{\varepsilon_0 \int |\vec{E}|^2 dV} \quad (\text{AII.4})$$

and the voltage along the arbitrary line parallel to the axis and having offset  $x$  is



$$\begin{aligned}
U(x, 0, z) &= q \frac{\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_z(x, 0, z) e^{ik_0 z} dz \right| \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_z(x_0, 0, z) e^{ik_0 z} dz \right|}{\varepsilon_0 \int |\vec{E}|^2 dV} \approx \\
&\approx \frac{qx x_0 \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial E_z(x, 0, z)}{\partial x} \right)_{x=0} e^{ik_0 z} dz \right|^2}{\varepsilon_0 \int |\vec{E}|^2 dV} = \frac{1}{2} x x_0 \omega_0 \left( \frac{r_{\parallel}}{Q} \right)_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{AII.5}$$

because  $\frac{\partial E_z(x, 0, z)}{\partial x}$  in paraxial area does not depend on  $x$  for a dipole mode. From the Panofsky-Wenzel theorem one has

$$\mathbf{U}_{kick} = \frac{ic}{\omega_0} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = \frac{i}{2} c q x_0 \left( \frac{r_{\parallel}}{Q} \right)_1. \tag{AII.6}$$

Considering that

$$\left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right)_1 \equiv \left( \frac{r_{\parallel}}{Q} \right)_1 \times \frac{1}{(k_0)^2} \tag{AII.7}$$

one has

$$\mathbf{U}_{kick} = \frac{i}{2} c q k_0^2 x_0 \left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right)_1 = \frac{i}{2} q \omega_0 k_0 x_0 \left( \frac{r_{\perp}}{Q} \right)_1. \tag{AII.8}$$

### Appendix III

Let us estimate the RF power necessary to maintain the kick amplitude  $U_0$  in a crab cavity with an operating dipole mode loaded by the beam having offset  $x_0$  with respect to the operating mode electric axis. If the beam current is  $I_p$ , the input power  $P$  is

$$P = \frac{V_c^2}{4Q \left( \frac{R}{Q} \right)} \frac{1+\beta}{\beta} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{I_p \left( \frac{R}{Q} \right) Q}{V_c} \right)^2 + \left( 2Q \frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_{RF}} \right)^2 \right], \tag{AIII.1}$$

where  $V_c$  is the energy gain of the beam in eV,  $\beta$  is the coupling,  $Q$  is the loaded quality factor,  $\frac{R}{Q}$  is the cavity impedance along the line parallel to the axis having offset  $x_0$ ,  $\frac{R}{Q} = \frac{V_c^2}{\omega_{RF} W}$ ,  $W$  is the stored energy,  $\omega_{RF}$  is it's resonant frequency,  $\Delta\omega = \omega_{RF} - \omega$ ,  $\omega$  is the harmonic of the bunch repetition frequency close to the cavity resonance. Note that we consider the worst case, when  $V_c$  is in phase with the beam current, while the kick is shifted by  $90^\circ$ .

According to the Panofsky-Wenzel theorem, the kick voltage is

$$\mathbf{U}_0 = \frac{ic}{\omega_{RF}} \frac{\partial V_c}{\partial x} \approx \frac{ic}{\omega_{RF}} \frac{V_c}{x_0}, \tag{AIII.2}$$

and the kick amplitude is

$$U_0 = \frac{c}{\omega_{RF}} \frac{V_c}{x_0} = \frac{V_c}{k_0 x_0}, \tag{AIII.3}$$

where  $k_0 = \omega_{RF}/c$  is the wave number. Therefore,

$$V_c = U_0 k_0 x_0 \tag{AIII.4}$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{R}{Q} = \frac{V_c^2}{\omega_{RF}W} = \frac{U_0^2(k_0x_0)^2}{\omega_{RF}W} = \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) (k_0x_0)^2. \quad (\text{AIII.5})$$

Substituting expressions for  $V_c$  and  $\frac{R}{Q}$  to the formula for the power above, one has

$$P = \frac{U_0^2}{4Q\left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right)} \frac{1+\beta}{\beta} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{I_p Q \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) k_0 x_0}{U_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2Q\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (\text{AIII.6})$$

The power  $P$  is minimal for the coupling

$$\beta_{opt} = \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{I_p Q_0 \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) k_0 x_0}{U_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2Q_0\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad (\text{AIII.7})$$

Considering that unloaded quality factor  $Q_0 = Q(1 + \beta)$ , one has for  $\beta \gg 1$

$$P \approx \frac{U_0^2}{4Q\left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right)} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{I_p Q \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) k_0 x_0}{U_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2Q\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} \right)^2 \right] \quad (\text{AIII.8})$$

and

$$Q_{opt} = \left[ \left( \frac{1}{Q_0} + \frac{I_p \left(\frac{r_{\perp}}{Q}\right) k_0 x_0}{U_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2}. \quad (\text{AIII.9})$$