Physics/Software

Kyushu Univ. Shusaku Tsumura

Evaluation

- Accuracy = $\frac{\text{hits in each cluster predicted correctly}}{\text{True hits in each cluster}}$
- Output of network :

 β vector (elements = hits) : condensation point cluster space coordinates matrix (elements = hits×arbitary):

- Presentation space coordinates
- → Matching Method
- → We can get the list [True cluster label],[Hit ID]

```
Ex) matches : [[[8], [130]], [[3], [84, 120, 89, 55, 823, 88]], [[28], [1759, 1709, 68]], [[7], [65, 66, 72, 

126]], [[9], [414, 896]], [[2], [1591]], [[5], [137, 128, 122, 144, 57, 895]], [[30], [362, 1760, 1782, 

1758]], [[1], [1685, 1773]], [[12], [369]], [[29], [901, 1785]], [[33], [953]], [[4], [3143]], [[15], [

87]], [[48], [1712]], [[8, 10, 6], [130]], [[48, 19], [1712]]]
```

 \rightarrow Problem : Fewer than I expected / Multi-tagged of cluster are attached to several hits.

Bias of input data

• Many showers include hits fewer than 10



Plan : Reduce data fewer than 10 Double particle gun simulation



Loss function - Network Learning -



 The object condensation approach : Aiming to accumulate all object properties in condensation points

Assignment of vertices for each sower	Identification of noise	Update of loss term
---------------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------

• The value of β_i ($0 < \beta_i < 1$) is used to define a charge q_i per vertex i

 $q_i = \operatorname{arctanh}^2 \beta_i + q_{\min} \quad (\beta_i \to 1 : q_i \to +\infty)$

- The charge q_i of each vertex belonging to an object k defines a potential $V_{ik}(x) \propto q_i$
- The force affecting vertex j can be described by

$$M_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1 \ (vertex \ i \ belonging \ to \ object \ k) \\ 0 \ (otherwise) \end{cases}$$

$$q_j \cdot \nabla V_k(x_j) = q_j \nabla \sum_{i=1}^N M_{ik} V_{ik}(x_j, q_i)$$

Loss function

- The potential of object k can be approximated : $V_k(x) \approx V_{\alpha k}(x, q_{\alpha k}), \text{ with } q_{\alpha k} = \max_i q_i M_{ik}.$
- An attractive and repulsive potential are defined as :

 $\breve{V}_k(x) = \|x - x_\alpha\|^2 q_{\alpha k}, \text{ and}$ $\widetilde{V}_k(x) = \max(0, 1 - \|x - x_\alpha\|) q_{\alpha k}.$

• The total potential loss $L_V = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N q_j \sum_{k=1}^K \left(M_{jk} \breve{V}_k(x_j) + (1 - M_{jk}) \hat{V}_k(x_j) \right)$



Loss function

- The L_V has the minimum value for $q_i = q_{\min} + \epsilon \forall i$
- To enforce one condensation point per object, and none for background or noise vertices, the following additional loss term L_{β} is introduced : s_{B} : hyperparameter d

$$L_{\beta} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k} (1 - \beta_{\alpha k}) + s_B \frac{1}{N_B} \sum_{i}^{N} n_i \beta_i,$$

- s_B : hyperparameter describing the background suppression strength K: Maximum value of objects N_B : Number of background n_i : Noise tag (if noise, it equals 1.)
- The loss terms are also weighted by $\operatorname{arctanh}^2\beta_i$:

$$L_p = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N L_i(t_i, p_i) \xi_i, \text{ with}$$
$$\xi_i = (1 - n_i) \operatorname{arctanh}^2 \beta_i.$$

 p_i : Featutes $L_i(t_i, p_i)$: Loss term (Difference between true labels and outputs of network)

Loss function

• If high efficiency instead of high purity is required :

$$L'_{p} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} M_{ik} \xi_{i}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} M_{ik} L_{i}(t_{i}, p_{i}) \xi_{i}.$$

 In practice, individual loss terms might need to be weighted differently :

$$L = L_p + s_c (L_\beta + L_V)$$
Update of
loss term
Identification of noise
Assignment of vertices for
each sower