



ILC Racetrack Crab Design

Prof Graeme Burt, Lancaster University / Cockcroft Institute

Thanks to Nik Templeton and James Bourne, STFC





ILC Crab Cavity Collaboration Team (c. 2008)

- Cockcroft Institute:
 - Graeme Burt (Lancaster University)
 - Richard Carter (Lancaster University)
 - Amos Dexter (Lancaster University)
 - Philippe Goudket (ASTeC)
 - Roger Jones (Manchester University)
 - Alex Kalinin (ASTeC)
 - Lili Ma (ASTeC)
 - Peter McIntosh (ASTeC)
 - Imran Tahir (Lancaster University)



- FNAL:
 - Leo Bellantoni
 - Mike Church
 - Tim Koeth
 - Timergali Khabiboulline
 - Sergei Nagaitsev
 - Nikolay Solyak
- SLAC:
 - Chris Adolphson
 - Kwok Ko
 - Zenghai Li
 - Cho Ng
 - Andrei Seryi
 - Liling Xiao





ILC-CC Design





In hindsight it may have been better to develop a racetrack solution with a larger polarisation separation. It would be prudent to revisit this.





Lower Order Mode Coupler









Hook type LOM coupler designed by FNAL/Lancaster and improved by SLAC

No filter, uses polarisation to avoid coupling to the crabbing mode

The LOM coupler prototype was found to give good agreement with both MWS and Omega3P simulations.







Cockcroft SOM Coupler design

The SOM coupler is currently a simple coaxial structure.



The problem is that as we decrease the SOM external Q we make the coupler susceptible to damping the operating mode.



Assuming 10 Watts mean power flow in the coupler, and we meet the SOM damping spec then we need to align the coupler to within 1.4 degrees.

May be better to use a racetrack cell shape to separate the vertical and horizontal mode in frequency and use a filter







The original HOM coupler was based on the EU-XFEL 3rd harmonic HOM coupler that's slightly modified.

SLAC redesigned the coupler but did not check all modes just the first two passbands.



Science and Technology Facilities Council





Re-optimizing the ILC crab

- In the original design the SOM separation is only 10 MHz which caused issues it would be good to separate them more.
- 250 GeV ILC requires a 3-cell cavity @ 5.3 MV/m, or 2x2-cells at @4.2 MV/m







Cell Optimisation (curvature radii)



- Epk is minimised for a large rounding radius on the equator and small iris
- Bpk is minimised for iris rounding around 7 mm
- We have aimed for minimising Bpk as Epk is fairly conservative
- Note that having such a large iris rounding and hence thickness implies a smaller equator and hence cell length







Improved geometry

thick iris)



- The surface magnetic field decreases as the ratio of minor to major axis decreases (below 0.5 its starts to increase but with our aperture this is not an allowed geometry anyway)
- The surface electric field is too low to worry about







Varying the ellipticity to tune the LOM/SOM

- Making the cavity elliptical pushes the other polarization of the dipole mode to higher frequencies
- One issue with making the cavity highly elliptical is the lower order mode moves closer to the crabbing mode
- Ideally LOM and SOM spacing equal
- Making more elliptical also drops Bpk until it gets close to the aperture hence <u>0.55 chosen as</u> <u>optimum</u>









Figure 8 & Elliptical Cavity







Initial 3 cell cavity design

- End cells initially had lower peak magnetic field
- Larger beampipes were used on the end cells to aid damping
- Iris was increased until the peak magnetic field was the same on all iris (iris radii 18 mm and 12.5 mm)







Same order and lower order modes

• Highest impedance SOM is the pi mode at 5.07 GHz, Rt/Q is only 50 Ohms/m due to frequency not being synchronous.









Trapped lower order modes



- Trapped mode in the centre cell may be difficult to damp so may be a larger issue
- Its caused by the racetrack geometry, as can be seen the fields are away from the iris reducing the cell-to-cell coupling
- Solutions:
 - Less elliptical design
 - Larger aperture
 - Elliptical aperture
 - On-cell damping
 - 2 cell design







Option 1: 2 cell cavity



- A 2 cell cavity has no trapped mode
- However the gradient required is much higher unless we use two cavities

- LOM Q factor goes to 500,000
- SOM Q is 35-100 so not an issue.







Option 2: Waveguide damping



 Waveguide damper does damp the pi and 0 modes well but doesn't damp the pi/2 modes but these will be damped strongly by a coax damper in the beampipes. Considering a waveguide section with a coax coupled to it to minimize size and heat leak. Coax can be positioned to prevent coupling to the crabbing mode.

Good damping overall except one mode, unfortunately the highest impedance mode.







Waveguide damper with coax antenna

 Mode 3
 A

 Orientation
 Outside

 Component
 Z

 Frequency
 3.25423 GHz

 Phase
 0*

 Total Q
 24785.2

 Maximum (Plot)
 9.51747e+07 V/m



- Waveguide has a coax antenna positioned at the field null in the waveguide at 3.9 GHz but field maxima at 3 and 5 GHz to damp LOM and SOM
- LOM Q is 25,000 and SOM Q is 3,500







Impedance for waveguide damped 3 cell





- The long. Impedance has no spec, the transverse impedance meets the spec except the other modes in the crabbing passband
- The FPC may well damp these





Option 3: Elliptical Aperture



- LOM is at 3 GHz and has field in all cells now
- SOM actually moves down in frequency not up to 3.3 GHz but beampipe cutoff now 3.4 GHz in vertical plane

• An elliptical aperture means there is more coupling between the LOM and SOM in each cell.







Option 4: Larger aperture/ thinner aperture

- 30 mm aperture allows some field in the end cells, which might be OK.
- 35 mm might be better but starts to impact peak fields/ maximum ellipticity





- We had a thick iris as this gave the lowest peak fields but using a thinner iris increases cell coupling
- Peak fields were well below limits (80 mT at 7.2 MV/m)





Design evolution: Option 4 selected



Gradients shown are limit for 80 mT

Aperture size = 30 mm, increasing to 40 mm Cavity major/min radii = 55.7 / 30.6 mm Ration major/min axis = 0.55

LOM freq= 3.3 GHz SOM freq= 5.0 GHz





Final mid-cell and 3 cell



A/m

2.52e+05+

2.2e+05

1.8e+05-

1.6e+05-

1.4e+05-

1.2e+05-

100000

80000 -

60000 -

40000 -

20000 -

2e+05-

3 cell

Required E _t *	5.3	MV/m
E _{pk} operational	22.5	MV/m
B _{pk} operational	66.6	mT
B_{pk}/E_{pk}	2.96	
R _t /Q	140.00	Ohms
G	207.00	







23

Multipactor



- Mid cell multipactor is up at 8 MV/m nothing seen below this
- Original elliptical design and smaller aperture design had no multipactor so clearly scope to move this
- The end cell has very low peak fields so design can prioritise multipactor suppression

- Higher order (4th 6th), slow-growing multipactor band found at 5.6 MV/m on minor axis iris of the end cell
- No growth below 5.6 MV/m in CST
- Multipactor is only on the end cell iris not the middle iris.







Mode spectrum







HOM coupler design



- Modified version of old ILC HOM coupler, similar to ILC main linac coupler
- Designed to have larger transmission at the LOM and SOM frequencies while filtering 3.9 GHz







Coax Coupler at key modes







Improved HOM design

• Making the HOM can 2.5 mm shorter shows strong damping on the LOM at 3.3 GHz but impedance calculations were done with old design







HOM coupler configuration

- The beampipe expands to 20 mm radius to push the cutoff frequency of the TM01 mode down
- This has negligible effect of RF performance
- Use two identical coaxial HOM couplers
- HOM protrudes into the beampipe but still respects 25 mm clearance







Impedance for coax damped 3 cell



- The long. Impedance has no spec, Q achieved is around 2x10⁵
- The transverse impedance meets the spec except the other modes in the crabbing passband
- The FPC will likely damp these





Fundamental power coupler

- Required QL is 10⁷ as the beam power at 0.5 mm is 200 watts.
- FPC is 30 mm off axis (10 mm from the beampipe) or could shift further from the cavity









Multipole with couplers



- At 5 MV/m the longitudinal voltage on axis is 570 V
- The multipoles at 5 mm normalized to b1 are shown in the table (up to b3):







Short range Wake



- The short range wake has been calculated for a 0.3 mm long bunch.
- The transverse voltage was calculated with a 1 mm offset
- Both long. and trans. Wakes are very small



Kick factor = 16 V/pC/m



Wake potential





Lorentz force and pressure deformation

- Lorentz force is 1.0 kN/m^2 at 5 MV/m gradient which is fairly small
- Equivalent to a 4 nm change in the cavity length (for 3 mm thick walls) which is 120 Hz,



- The frequency shift due to a 1 bar pressure difference is 90 kHz hence the pressure sensitivity is 90 Hz/mbar
- Max Stress is 5.4 MPa







UK ILC Crab Cavity Cryo Design

James Bourne & Niklas Templeton











UK ILC 3.9GHz Racetrack Crab Cavity – Fabrication







UK ILC 3.9GHz Racetrack Crab Cavity - Assembly



Niobium (RRR <400) Niobium-Titanium (Nb55Ti) Titanium (Grade 2)





1 Cavity Cryomodule Schematic







4 Cavity Cryomodule Schematic







DN40 Gate Valves – 523 x 125 x 72







Cavity Alignment (Anti-crabbing)



If the cavity has a roll misalignment it will cause a small crossing angle in the vertical plane.

This will significantly reduce the luminosity



Either need active alignment or space for an extra cavity!



Adding a single cell crab cavity in the vertical (rather than horizontal) plane can correct for vertical crabbing and offsets due to cavity misalignment, wakefields or other sources of error such as x-y coupling.





Volume within Helium Tank

- Helium Tank: <u>5036080mm3</u>
- Total Volume: 5036080-790119.5 = 4245960.5mm3 \rightarrow 4.25 litres
- PED Category I







Niobium Bill Of Materials

Bare Cavities &	N	Nb RRR 400 - Sheet				Nb RRR 400 - Billet			
HOM Housing	t (mm)	w (mm)	l (mm)	(kg)		t (mm)	w (mm)	l (mm)	(kg)
1	3	200	550	2.8		5	45	46	0.1
4	3	200	2200	11.2		5	45	184	0.4



Includes ~10% waste material

NbTi Bill Of Materials

Flanges, Stiffening		Nb55Ti - Sheet			Nb55Ti - Billet			
Rings & Bellows	t (mm)	w (mm)	l (mm)	(kg)	t (mm)	w (mm)	l (mm)	(kg)
1	3	150	300	0.8	50	75	75	1.6
4	3	150	1200	3.2	50	75	300	6.4

Includes ~10% waste material







Comparison to specification

Parameter	Elliptical/Racetrack
Operating frequency	3.9
SOM	5
1 st Longitudinal HOM	3.3
1 st Transverse HOM	4.7
E _p /E _t *	4.24
B_p/E_t^*	12.6
B _p /E _p (including ports)	2.96
G	207
R/Q (accelerator definition per cavity)	140
R _t R _s	2.9×10 ⁴
V _t max per cavity	0.716
V _t operational per cavity (125 GeV)	0.615
E _p operational	22.5
B _{p operaytional}	66.6
Total No. of cavities (125 GeV beam)	1
Extendability (500 GeV beam)	Use 4 cavities
Vt max/Vt operational	1.16

Flange-flange Cavity Length	370
Number of cells	3
Cavity Diameter (RF model ID largest transverse horizontal dimension closest to 2nd beam-pipe)	111.4
Minimum Aperture	25
FPC QL	1.0×10 ⁷
Loaded Bandwidth	390
Cavity Input Power	0.22
Longitudinal Loss Factor kz	3.2
Horizontal Kick Factor k _x	16
Vertical Kick Factor k _y	16
Stored Energy W (at Vt operational)	0.1
HOM impedance (Longitudinal)	230
HOM impedance (Transverse) H	1.3
HOM impedance (Transverse) V	1.4
First 3 multipole pararameters	1, 0.1, 250
Nb material quantity (Kg) per cavity prototype	2.9
Nb material sheet/ingot	Sheet for main body, billet for HOM couplers
Maximum stresses, max pressure at RT (weakest)?	5.4





Conclusions

- Using a racetrack geometry gives improved separation to the sameorder mode and minimizes the peak magnetic fields
- A 3 cell cavity with coax HOM dampers meets the ILC impedance specification
- There is a small amount of multipactor in the endcell at the operating gradient but, it appears possible to move this to higher voltages
- The cavity is small and a lower voltage is needed at 3.9 GHz making the cryomodule significantly cheaper and easier